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## Plan of the talk

(1) Brane interactions with closed string fluxes
(2) An $\mathcal{N}=1$ local example example
(3) Flux effects and stringy instantons

Brane interactions with closed string fluxes

## Flexibility of the string view-point

- Realizing instantonic sectors in a stringy way makes it natural to investigate modifications or extensions of non-perturbative contributions in field theory models admitting a string description.
- Take into account the interactions with closed strings, i.e. with bulk fields (loosely speaking, with the "gravitational" sector)
- In brane-world models, many different (wrapped) Euclidean branes appear as instantonic objects in the 4d gauge/matter theory. Different non-perturbative constributions may arise, some corresponding to ordinary field-theory instantons, some not


## Interactions with closed strings

- Include the effect of closed strings, similarly to what A. Lerda described before for open strings
- Extra term in the moduli action from disk diagrams coupling moduli to bulk fields

$$
S_{\text {mod }}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow S_{\text {mod }}\left(\mathcal{M}, \phi^{c l}\right)
$$



- Integrating over the moduli yields non-perturbative corrections to effective action for the bulk fields

$$
\int d \mathcal{M} e^{-S_{m o d}\left(\mathcal{M}, \phi^{d}\right)}=S_{\text {eff }}^{\text {n.p. }}\left(\phi^{c l}\right)
$$

Among the first lines pursued to find D-instanton induced interactions in the gravitational sector (ex $R^{4}$ term in eff. action of type IIB) Green and Gutperle $9701093, \ldots$

## Closed string backgrounds

- We may also consider turning on a closed string background
- Mixed open/closed diagrams are now interpreted as describing a deformation of the of the gauge theory action and of the moduli action in the background
- We can study the non-perturbative sectors of the deformed theory integrating over the moduli with the deformed moduli action
- Well known example: non-commutative field theories from open strings in $B^{\mu \nu}$ background

Chu and Ho, 9812219 ; Seiberg and Witten, 9908142; ...

- D-instantons effects in such theories can be studied using the method outlined above


## RR backgrounds

- Also RR backgrounds can be studied (despite the fact that the $\sigma$-model description is lacking), by "perturbatively" inserting RR vertices.
- In the field theory limit $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$, only diagrams with few insertions matter
- Insert the background RR value in the corresponding amplitudes
- Examples of effects of a constant RR background where the method applies:
- Non-anti-commutative (NAC) field theories de Boer et al, 0302078; Ooguri and Vafa, 0302109; . . ; Billo et al, 0402160; ...
- Nekrasov's $\epsilon$-deformations of the instanton moduli space in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories


## Flux interactions on branes

- As a concrete example (useful for the following) let us describe the coupling of open string fermions living on some branes to closed string fluxes, from both the NSNS and RR sector
- It can be described in a general, 10d set-up.
- Here we will be interested in the effect of fluxes on the ordinary and exotic instantons in an $\mathcal{N}=1$ brane-engineered gauge theory


## The disk diagrams



- $\Theta$ and $\Theta^{\prime}$ are massless fermions from the $R$ sector of open strings


## The disk diagrams



- $F(H)$ is a closed string vertex corresponding to a RR (NS-NS) field strength


## The disk diagrams



- We can treat open string with generic b.c., including both the twisted and untwisted case


## The disk diagrams



- We work in a flat geometry (non-compact, toroidal or orbifolded directions)


## Boundary conditions

- The disk amplitude depends on the $\leftrightarrow$ boundary conditions, imposed by the brane, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\bar{\partial} x^{M}\right|_{\sigma=0, \pi}=\left.\left(R_{\sigma}\right)_{N}^{M} \partial x^{N}\right|_{\sigma=0, \pi}, \quad R_{\sigma}=\left(1-\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right) \\
& \text { For a string stretching between } \\
& \text { different branes, we get twisted } \\
& \text { fields: } \\
& X^{M}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} z\right)=R_{N}^{M} X^{N}(z), R=R_{\pi}^{-1} R_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In a suitable complex basis $Z^{\prime}, I=1, \ldots 5$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \vartheta^{1}}, \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i \vartheta^{1}}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \vartheta^{5}}, \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i \vartheta^{5}}\right) \\
& \partial Z^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i} z\right)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \vartheta^{\prime}} \partial Z^{\prime}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Specializing the result

The resulting general form of the amplitude can be applied to many different situations and generate various types of flux interactions.

- We will concentrate here on toroidal (orbifold) compactifications of IIB to 4d and consider the interactions induced by constant internal fluxes $F_{3}$ and $H$ on
- space-filling branes. In this case we consider untwisted strings
- instantonic branes. We consider untwisted strings (neutral moduli) but also also twisted ( $\theta^{4}, 5=1 / 2$ ) ND strings forcharged moduli.


## Untwisted case

- The general result reduces to ( $m, n \ldots$ are internal indices)

$$
\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{F}+\mathcal{A}_{H} \sim i \Theta \Gamma^{m n p} \Theta T_{m n p}
$$

with
$T_{m n p}=\left(F \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)_{m n p}+\frac{1}{g_{s}}\left[\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)_{m n p}+\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)_{n p m}+\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)_{p m n}\right]$

- The factor of $g_{s}$ is due to the relative normalizazion of $R R$ and NS-NS vertices to account for their 10d kinetic terms in the Einstein frame


## Untwisted case

- The general result reduces to ( $m, n \ldots$ are internal indices)

$$
\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{F}+\mathcal{A}_{H} \sim i \Theta \Gamma^{m n p} \Theta T_{m n p}
$$

with

$$
T_{m n p}=\left(F \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)_{m n p}+\frac{1}{g_{s}}\left[\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)_{m n p}+\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)_{n p m}+\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)_{p m n}\right]
$$

- For unmagnetized branes,the reflection matrix $R_{0}$ is simply +1 for NN and -1 for DD directions
- The spinorial reflection is simply $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\prod_{\hat{m} \in D D} \Gamma^{\hat{m}}$


## 4d notation

- Decomposing the 10d spinors into 4+6-dimensional parts: $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow\left(\Theta^{\alpha A}, \Theta_{\dot{\alpha} A}\right)$, the flux coupling in 4d notation reads

$$
-i \Theta^{\alpha A} \Theta_{\alpha}^{B}\left(\bar{\Sigma}^{m n p}\right)_{A B} T_{m n p}^{\mathrm{IASD}}-i \Theta_{\dot{\alpha} A} \Theta^{\dot{\alpha}}{ }_{B}\left(\Sigma^{m n p}\right)^{A B} T_{m n p}^{\mathrm{ISD}}
$$

## 4d notation

- Decomposing the 10d spinors into 4+6-dimensional parts: $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow\left(\Theta^{\alpha A}, \Theta_{\dot{\alpha} A}\right)$, the flux coupling in $4 d$ notation reads

$$
-i \Theta^{\alpha A} \Theta_{\alpha}^{B}\left(\bar{\Sigma}^{m n p}\right)_{A B} T_{m n p}^{\mathrm{IASD}}-i \Theta_{\dot{\alpha} A} \Theta^{\dot{\alpha}}{ }_{B}\left(\Sigma^{m n p}\right)^{A B} T_{m n p}^{\mathrm{ISD}}
$$

- ISD and IASD tensors are defined as follows:

$$
T_{m n p}^{\mathrm{ISD}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T-i *_{6} T\right)_{m n p} \quad, \quad T_{m n p}^{\mathrm{IASD}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T+i *_{6} T\right)_{m n p}
$$

- In a complex basis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\mathrm{ISD}} & \rightarrow T_{(0,3)} \oplus T_{(2,1)_{\mathrm{P}}} \oplus T_{(1,2)_{\mathrm{NP}}} \\
T^{\mathrm{IASD}} & \rightarrow T_{(3,0)} \oplus T_{(1,2) \mathrm{p}} \oplus T_{(2,1)_{\mathrm{NP}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where ( N )P stands for (non)-primitive

## Coupling on unmagnetized branes

- In this case the fermions $\Theta^{\alpha A}$ are fields (gauginos, ...)
- The coupling $T_{m n p}$ depends on the type of brane:

|  | 0-3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $T_{\text {mnp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\left(*_{6} F\right)_{m n p}-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |
| D5 | - | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n p}} ;-\frac{1}{2} F_{\hat{m}}^{q r} \epsilon_{\text {qrnp }} ;-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |
| D7 | - | - | - | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $F_{\hat{m} \hat{n}}{ }^{q} \epsilon_{q p}+\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p}$ |
| D9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $F_{\hat{m} \hat{n} \hat{o}}$ |

- We neglected the $H$-components that would be projected out by the appropriate orientifold projections


## Coupling on unmagnetized branes

- In this case the fermions $\Theta^{\alpha A}$ are fields (gauginos, ...)
- The coupling $T_{m n p}$ depends on the type of brane:

|  | $0-3$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $T_{m n p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\left(*_{6} F\right)_{m n p}-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |
| D5 | - | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p} ;-\frac{1}{2} F_{\hat{m}}{ }^{q r} \epsilon_{q r n p} ;-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |
| D7 | - | - | - | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $F_{\hat{m} \hat{n}}{ }^{q} \epsilon_{q p}+\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p}$ |
| D9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $F_{\hat{m} \hat{n} \hat{p}}$ |

- Can be extended to magnetized branes, by taking general reflection matrices $R_{0}, \mathcal{R}_{0}$


## Coupling on unmagnetized branes

- In this case the fermions $\Theta^{\alpha A}$ are fields (gauginos, ...)
- The coupling $T_{m n p}$ depends on the type of brane:

|  | $0-3$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $T_{m n p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\left(*_{6} F\right)_{m n p}-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |
| D5 | - | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p} ;$ |
|  | $-\frac{1}{2} F_{\hat{m}}^{q r} \epsilon_{q r n p} ;-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D7 | - | - | - | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $F_{\hat{m} \hat{n}}^{q} \epsilon_{q p}+\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p}$ |
| D9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $F_{\hat{m} \hat{n} \hat{p}}$ |

- $F$ and $H$ do not appear of the same footing.


## Coupling on instantonic branes

- In this case the fermions $\Theta^{\alpha A}$ are neutral moduli
- The coupling $T_{m n p}$ depends on the type of brane:

|  | $0-3$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $T_{m n p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}(-1)$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $-i F_{m n p}-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |
| E1 | $\times$ | - | - | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p} ;$ |
| E3 | $\times$ | $-i \epsilon_{\hat{m} \hat{q}} F_{n p}^{\hat{p}} ;-\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{m n p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E5 | $\times$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\hat{m} \hat{n} \hat{s}} F_{p}^{\hat{s} \hat{s}}+\frac{1}{g_{s}} H_{\hat{m} \hat{n} p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $i\left(*_{6} F\right)_{\hat{m} \hat{n} \hat{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- We will use this result to discuss the influence of fluxes on the stringy instanton calculus


## An $\mathcal{N}=1$ example

## A simple laboratory: $\mathbb{C}^{3} /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$

To analyize the flux effects on the non-perturbative effective action of brane-world gauge theories, it is useful to focalize on a simple (yet non-trivial) example

- We consider a local model of an $\mathcal{N}=1$ compactification given by the orbifold $\mathbb{C}^{3} /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}:\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}, Z^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z^{1},-Z^{2},-Z^{3}\right) \\
& h_{2}:\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}, Z^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(-Z^{1}, Z^{2},-Z^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The properties of the 4 irreducible representations, and the transformations of the string fields under this group are easily worked out Dealis


## The quiver

We consider fractional D3 branes transverse to the orbifold

- 4 types of fD3's: the CP indices of open string endpoints attached to fD3(A) transform in the orbifold irrep $R_{A}$
- Given a system of $\left\{N_{A}\right\}$ fD3's, the open string massless spectrum is encoded in a quiver

- Nodes $\leftrightarrow U\left(N_{A}\right) \mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplets
- Arrows: bifundamental chiral multiplets


## Different instantonic sectors

- 4 types of $\mathrm{fD}(-1)$ 's associated to the nodes of the quiver
- W.r.t. the $U\left(N_{A}\right)$ gauge theory on a given node,
- the $\mathrm{D}(-1)$ 's occupying the same node $A$ are found to correspond to ordinary gauge instantons
- $\mathrm{D}(-1$ )'s on a node $B \neq A$ have a different spectrum of moduli, and correspond to "exotic" or "stringy" instantons
- Analogue in smooth compactifications (e.g. in the blown-up orbifold): for the gauge theory on a stack of branes wrapped on a cycle $C_{A}$,
- ordinary instantons arise from Euclidean branes entirely wrapped on $C_{A}$
- "exotic" ones from E-branes wrapped on $C_{B} \neq C_{A}$


## A realization of SQCD

A system of $N_{0}\left(N_{1}\right)$ fD3's of type $0(1)$ realizes SQCD

- $U\left(N_{0}\right) \times U\left(N_{1}\right) \mathcal{N}=1$ gauge theory
- Two chiral multiplets:


$$
Q \in N_{0} \times \bar{N}_{1}, \quad \tilde{Q} \in \bar{N}_{0} \times N_{1}
$$

- The "quark" multiplets can be grouped into

$$
\Phi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & Q_{f}^{u} \\
\widetilde{Q}_{u}^{f} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## A realization of SQCD

A system of $N_{0}\left(N_{1}\right)$ fD3's of type $0(1)$ realizes SQCD

- $U\left(N_{0}\right) \times U\left(N_{1}\right) \mathcal{N}=1$ gauge theory
- Two chiral multiplets:


$$
Q \in N_{0} \times \bar{N}_{1}, \quad \tilde{Q} \in \bar{N}_{0} \times N_{1}
$$

- The diagonal $U(1)$ factor is decoupled, the other $U(1)$ factor is IR free $\rightarrow$ we in fact have an $\operatorname{SU}\left(N_{0}\right) \times S U\left(N_{1}\right)$ theory
- We focus on one the gauge factors, so we see a SQCD with

$$
N_{c}=N_{0}, \quad N_{f}=N_{1}
$$

## A realization of SQCD

A system of $N_{0}\left(N_{1}\right)$ fD3's of type $0(1)$ realizes SQCD

- $U\left(N_{0}\right) \times U\left(N_{1}\right) \mathcal{N}=1$ gauge theory
- Two chiral multiplets:


$$
Q \in N_{0} \times \bar{N}_{1}, \quad \tilde{Q} \in \bar{N}_{0} \times N_{1}
$$

- The massless d.o.f. in the Higgs phase parametrize solutions to the D-flatness eq.s

$$
Q_{f}^{u} Q_{v}^{\dagger f}=\tilde{Q}_{f}^{\dagger u} \tilde{Q}_{v}^{f}
$$

## "Ordinary" D-instantons

- Including $k_{0}$ fractional $\mathrm{D}(-1)$ of type 0 corresponds to work in the instanton \# $k_{0}$ sector of the gauge theory

$N_{1}=N_{f}$
- In SQCD, the $k_{0}=1$ sector is responsible of
- the ADS/VTY superpotential for $N_{f}=N_{c}-1$

Affleck et al, 1984; Taylor et al, 1983

- Beasley-Witten F-terms for $N_{f} \geq N_{c}$

Beasley and Witten, 0409149, 0512039

- In presence of fluxes, other effects (some of stringy nature) arise


## Exotic D-instantons

$D(-1)$ 's of type 2 or 3 give "exotic", a.k.a. "stringy" non-perturbative effects

- "Exotic" non-perturbative contributions have attracted much interest recently in brane-world constructions
- Could generate very interesting terms (neutrino masses ...)


Blumenhagen et al 0609191; Ibanez and Uranga, 0609213; ... ;

- However, severe restrictions from integration over fermionic 0-modes: difficult to get non-vanishing results

Argurio et al, 0704.0262; Bianchi et al, 0704.0784; .

- To this aim, fluxes might come to the rescue!


## Ordinary instanton: spectrum

Let us focus on a single $D(-1)$ of type 0 in the SQCD set-up

$N_{1}=N_{f}$

## Ordinary instanton: spectrum

Let us focus on a single $D(-1)$ of type 0 in the SQCD set-up


- Neutral moduli: $\left\{x^{\mu}, D_{C}, \theta^{\alpha}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}$
- $x, \theta:$ position of the instanton + superpartner
- $D_{c}(c=1,2,3)$ : auxiliary fields (see later)


## Ordinary instanton: spectrum

Let us focus on a single $D(-1)$ of type 0 in the SQCD set-up
$N_{1}=N_{f}$

- Neutral moduli: $\left\{x^{\mu}, D_{C}, \theta^{\alpha}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}$
- $x, \theta:$ position of the instanton + superpartner
- $D_{c}(c=1,2,3)$ : auxiliary fields (see later)
- Charged moduli: $\left\{w_{\dot{\alpha} u}, \mu_{u}\right\},\left\{\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{u}, \mu^{\mu}\right\}$ from the two orientations.
- $w_{\dot{\alpha}}$ bosonic, $\mu$ fermionic: effect of ND b.c.'s.
- $u=$ color index


## Ordinary instanton: spectrum

Let us focus on a single $D(-1)$ of type 0 in the SQCD set-up


- Neutral moduli: $\left\{x^{\mu}, D_{C}, \theta^{\alpha}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}$
- $x, \theta:$ position of the instanton + superpartner
- $D_{c}(c=1,2,3)$ : auxiliary fields (see later)
- Charged moduli: $\left\{w_{\dot{\alpha} u}, \mu_{u}\right\},\left\{\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{u}, \mu^{u}\right\}$ from the two orientations.
- $w_{\dot{\alpha}}$ bosonic, $\mu$ fermionic: effect of ND b.c.'s.
- $u=$ color index
- Flavored moduli: $\mu_{f}^{\prime}, \bar{\mu}^{\prime f}$ from the two orientations
- Fermionic only! D(-1) of type 0, D3 of type 1 can be seen as branes wrapped on non-parallel (exceptional cycles): "exotic" configuration
- $f=$ flavor index


## Ordinary instanton: action

The disks with moduli insertions yield the action

$$
S_{\text {mod }}=\frac{D_{c} D^{c}}{2 g_{0}^{2}}+i D_{c}\left(\bar{w}^{u} \tau^{c} w_{u}\right)+i \lambda \cdot\left(\bar{\mu}^{u} w_{u}+\bar{w}^{u} \mu_{u}\right)
$$

The dimensions of the moduli are chosen as follows:

| $x_{\mu}$ | $D_{c}$ | $\theta^{\alpha}$ | $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $w_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $\mu$ | $\mu^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M^{-1}$ | $M^{2}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ | $M^{3 / 2}$ | $M^{-1}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ |

- In the field theory limit $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow 0, D_{C}$ and $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ are Lagrange multiplier for the bosonic and fermionic constraints of the ADHM construction.
- Indeed, $1 / g_{0}^{2} \propto\left(2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2} / g_{s}$ goes to 0 for $g_{s}$ fixed, i.e. fixed gauge coupling


## Ordinary instanton: action

The disks with moduli insertions yield the action

$$
S_{\text {mod }}=\frac{D_{c} D^{c}}{2 g_{0}^{2}}+i D_{c}\left(\bar{w}^{u} \tau^{c} w_{u}\right)+i \lambda \cdot\left(\bar{\mu}^{u} w_{u}+\bar{w}^{u} \mu_{u}\right)
$$

The dimensions of the moduli are chosen as follows:

| $x_{\mu}$ | $D_{c}$ | $\theta^{\alpha}$ | $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $w_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $\mu$ | $\mu^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M^{-1}$ | $M^{2}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ | $M^{3 / 2}$ | $M^{-1}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ |

- $x^{\mu}, \theta^{\alpha}$ have the dimensions of supercoordinates
- They do not enter in the pure moduli action


## Ordinary instanton: action

The disks with moduli insertions yield the action

$$
S_{\text {mod }}=\frac{D_{c} D^{c}}{2 g_{0}^{2}}+i D_{c}\left(\bar{w}^{u} \tau^{c} w_{u}\right)+i \lambda \cdot\left(\bar{\mu}^{u} w_{u}+\bar{w}^{u} \mu_{u}\right)
$$

The dimensions of the moduli are chosen as follows:

| $x_{\mu}$ | $D_{c}$ | $\theta^{\alpha}$ | $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $w_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $\mu$ | $\mu^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M^{-1}$ | $M^{2}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ | $M^{3 / 2}$ | $M^{-1}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ | $M^{-1 / 2}$ |

- The $w_{\dot{\alpha} u}$ are related to the size and orientation of the instanton: $\bar{w}^{u} \cdot w_{u}=\rho^{2}$ once the constraints are solved


## Field-dependent terms

New diagrams with insertions of matter fields from D3(0)/D3(1) strings give extra terms in the moduli action

```
Back
```



$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {mod }} & +\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{u}\left(\phi^{\dagger}(x) \Phi(x, \theta)+\Phi(x, \theta) \phi^{\dagger}(x)\right) w_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \\
& +\bar{\mu}^{u} \phi_{u}^{\dagger f}(x) \mu_{f}^{\prime}-\bar{\mu}^{\prime f} \phi_{f}^{\dagger u}(x) \mu_{u}+\bar{w}^{\dot{\alpha} u} \psi_{u}^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}}(x) \mu^{\prime}-\bar{\mu}^{\prime} \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger u}(x) w_{u}^{\dot{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\phi_{f}^{u}$ is a chiral multiplet:

$$
\Phi(x, \theta)=\phi(x)+\theta^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}(x)+\theta^{2} F(x)
$$

- The moduli $x, \theta$ enter in the moduli action only through this expansion


## Field-dependent terms

New diagrams with insertions of matter fields from D3(0)/D3(1) strings give extra terms in the moduli action

```
- Back
```



$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {mod }} & +\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{u}\left(\phi^{\dagger}(x) \Phi(x, \theta)+\Phi(x, \theta) \phi^{\dagger}(x)\right) w_{v}^{\dot{\alpha}} \\
& +\bar{\mu}^{u} \phi_{u}^{\dagger \dagger}(x) \mu_{f}^{\prime}-\bar{\mu}^{\prime f} \phi_{f}^{\dagger \dagger}(x) \mu_{u}+\bar{w}^{\dot{\alpha} u} \psi_{u}^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}}(x) \mu^{\prime}-\bar{\mu}^{\prime} \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger u}(x) w_{u}^{\dot{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The moduli action is not holomorphic.
- The dependence on $\phi^{\dagger}(x)=\Phi^{\dagger}(x, \bar{\theta}=0)$, is not extended (in the $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ limit) to anti-chiral multiplets $\Phi^{\dagger}(x, \bar{\theta})$,


## Field-dependent terms

New diagrams with insertions of matter fields from D3(0)/D3(1) strings give extra terms in the moduli action

```
- Back
```



$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {mod }} & +\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{u}\left(\phi^{\dagger}(x) \Phi(x, \theta)+\Phi(x, \theta) \phi^{\dagger}(x)\right) w_{v}^{\dot{\alpha}} \\
& +\bar{\mu}^{u} \phi_{u}^{\dagger f}(x) \mu_{f}^{\prime}-\bar{\mu}^{\prime f} \phi_{f}^{\dagger \dagger}(x) \mu_{u}+\bar{w}^{\dot{\alpha} u} \psi_{u}^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}}(x) \mu^{\prime}-\bar{\mu}^{\prime} \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger u}(x) w_{u}^{\dot{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- These terms involve the "quarks", and can be rewritten in terms of $\left.\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}(x, \bar{\theta})\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}$
- Responsible for Beasley-Witten multifermion terms in the effective action (see later)


## Symmetries of the moduli action

- The gauge theory action and the moduli action are invariant under $U(1)^{3} \subset S O(6)$ global symmetries surviving the orbifold projection.
- We can assign to the fields/moduli the following charges

|  | $\phi$ | $\psi^{\alpha}$ | $\mu, \bar{\mu}, \theta^{\alpha}$ | $\mu^{\prime}, \bar{\mu}^{\prime}$ | $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q$ | 1 | $-1 / 2$ | $3 / 2$ | -1 | $-3 / 2$ |
| $q^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $q^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

The bosonic moduli $a^{\mu}, w_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}, D_{C}$ are invariant

- These symmetries powerfully constrain the form of the instantonic contributions to the effective action
- They can be extended to $\left\{k_{A}\right\}$ D-instantons in a generic quiver theory with $\left\{N_{A}\right\}$ D3-branes


## Non-perturbative F-terms

Low energy effective action in the instanton sector:

$$
S_{n . p .}=\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \tau_{\gamma M}\left(M_{s}\right)}\left(M_{s}\right)^{3 N_{c}-N_{f}} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\bmod }\left(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\right)}
$$

## Non-perturbative F-terms

Low energy effective action in the instanton sector:

$$
S_{n . p .}=\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \tau_{Y M}\left(M_{s}\right)}\left(M_{s}\right)^{3 N_{c}-N_{f}} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\text {mod }}\left(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\right)}
$$

- The pure disks and annuli attached to the $D(-1)$ give the exponential of the classical instanton action with the 1-loop coupling $\tau_{Y M}$ evaluated at $M_{S}=1 / \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$
- The dimensionality of $d \mathcal{M}$ implies the factor $M_{s}^{3 N_{c}-N_{f}}$
- Together, these terms reconstruct the dynamical scale $\Lambda^{3 N_{c}-N_{f}}=\Lambda^{b_{1}}$


## Form of the F-term corrections

- Write

$$
S_{\text {n.p. }}=\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta W_{\text {n.p. }}, \quad W_{\text {n.p. }}=\Lambda^{b_{1}} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\bmod }\left(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\right)}
$$

- Ansatz (due to the form of $S_{\text {mod }}$ )

$$
\left.W_{n . p .} \sim \Lambda^{b_{1}}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{n} \Phi^{m}\left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{p}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

- Exploit the $U(1)^{3}$ symmetry requiring that

$$
q, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime \prime}\left[W_{n . p .}\right]=q, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime \prime}[d \mathcal{M}]
$$

This fixes

$$
p=-n=1-N_{c}+N_{f}, \quad m=1-N_{c}-N_{f} .
$$

## Form of the F-term corrections

- Write

$$
S_{\text {n.p. }}=\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta W_{\text {n.p. }}, \quad W_{\text {n.p. }}=\Lambda^{b_{1}} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\bmod \left(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\right)}}
$$

- The form of the induced interactions is thus

$$
\left.W_{n . p .} \sim \Lambda^{b_{1}} \frac{\left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{p}}{\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{p} \Phi^{p+2 N_{c}-2}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

for $p=0,1, \ldots$.

## The ADS superpotential

- In the case $p=0$, i.e. $N_{f}=N_{c}-1$, the structure is $W_{n . p .} \sim \Lambda^{2 N_{f}+3} \Phi^{-2 N_{f}}$
- The integrals over the moduli can be done explicitly
- $W_{\text {n.p. }}$ should depend on low-energy fields only. We have to impose the D-flatness condition Recall
- By doing so, in the result of the integration over $d \mathcal{M}$ only the low-energy d.o.f. (meson fields, ...) appear
- We get the ADS superpotential

$$
W(M)=\frac{\Lambda^{2 N_{f}+3}}{\operatorname{det} M}
$$

where $M$ is the meson superfield $(M)_{f}{ }^{f^{\prime}}=\tilde{Q}_{f}{ }^{u} Q_{u}{ }^{f^{\prime}}$

## BW multifermion terms

- For $p>0$, i.e. $N_{f} \geq N_{c}$, one gets the multifermion instanton interactions in SQCD of BW Beasley and Witten, 0409149
- For $p=1$ and $N_{f}=N_{C}=2$, the form of the interaction is

$$
\left.W_{n . p .} \sim \Lambda^{4} \frac{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}}{\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi^{3}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

- The moduli integral in this case can be done matsuo etal, 0803.0798 . Result in accordance with the above structure:

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}=\left.\mathcal{C} \Lambda^{4} \frac{\epsilon_{f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}} \epsilon_{2}^{f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} M_{f_{2}}^{\dagger f_{1}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} M^{\dagger}{ }_{f_{2}^{\prime}}^{f_{1}^{\prime}}+2 \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} B^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{B}^{\dagger}}{\left(\operatorname{tr} M^{\dagger} M+B^{\dagger} B+\tilde{B}^{\dagger} \tilde{B}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

in terms of the $S U(2)$ meson and baryon fields.

## BW multifermion terms

- For $p>0$, i.e. $N_{t} \geq N_{c}$, one gets the multifermion instanton interactions in SQCD of BW Beasley and witten, 0409149
- For $p>1$, more general multi-fermion terms
- The BW multi-fermion terms are non-holomorphic but are annihilated by the anti-chiral supercharges $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$


## Possible multi-instanton corrections

- More general configurations of "ordinary" D-instantons: $k_{0}, k_{1}$ generic
 (but $k_{2}=k_{3}=0$ )
- In this case one can argue that there can be holomorphic non-perturbative corrections of the form

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}=\mathcal{C} M_{s}^{\left(k_{0} b_{1}+k_{1} \beta_{1}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i\left(k_{0} \tau_{0}+k_{1} \tau_{1}\right)} \phi^{\left(3-k_{0} b_{1}-k_{1} \beta_{1}\right)}
$$

(here $\phi$ is the v.e.v. of the chiral multiplet $\Phi$ ).

- Can be promoted to depende on the entire multiplet $\Phi$,


## Possible multi-instanton corrections

- More general configurations of "ordinary" D-instantons: $k_{0}, k_{1}$ generic
 (but $k_{2}=k_{3}=0$ )
- In this case one can argue that there can be holomorphic non-perturbative corrections of the form

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}=\mathcal{C} M_{s}^{\left(k_{0} b_{1}+k_{1} \beta_{1}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i\left(k_{0} \tau_{0}+k_{1} \tau_{1}\right)} \phi^{\left(3-k_{0} b_{1}-k_{1} \beta_{1}\right)}
$$

(here $\phi$ is the v.e.v. of the chiral multiplet $\Phi$ ).

- Can be promoted to depende on the entire multiplet $\Phi$,
- See Schmidt-Sommerfeld's talk for a general discussion of Multi D-instanton Effects in String Compactifications

Flux effects and stringy instantons

## Incorporating flux effects

- From the table derived before Recall one sees that D3 fermions couple to the flux combination

$$
G=F-\frac{i}{g_{s}} H
$$

- One finds that $G_{3,0}$ gives mass to the gaugino while $G_{0,3}$ corresponds to the GVW bulk superpotential Gukov etal, 9906070

$$
W \sim \int G \wedge \Omega \sim G_{0,3}
$$

- We want to investigate flux effects in the low energy effective theory for the massless d.o.f. in the Higgs phase
- The fluxes may modify the non-perturbative contributions which in this context are due to (fractional) $D(-1)$ branes


## Flux corrections

Applying our results for the flux interactions on $\mathrm{D}(-1)$ 's to the "ordinary" instanton configuration ( $k_{0}=1$ ) one gets extra contributions to the moduli action of the form: Back

$$
S_{\text {mod }}^{(f l u x)} \sim i \alpha^{\prime 2} G_{(0,3)} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}+i G_{(3,0)} \theta_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha}+i G_{(3,0)} \bar{\mu}_{u} \mu^{u}
$$

(The last term corrisponds to couplings with twisted moduli)

- I will now briefly discuss some of the effects that these extra terms induce in the non-perturbative low energy effective action
- For simplicity, from now on $G=G_{(3,0)}$ and $\bar{G}=G_{(0,3)}$.


## One-instanton effects at $G \neq 0$

- If we pull down once the term $G \bar{\mu}_{u} \mu^{u}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {n.p. }}(G) & =\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta W_{\text {n.p. }}(G) \\
W_{\text {n.p. }}(G) & =\Lambda^{b_{1}} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\bmod }(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})}(i G \bar{\mu} \mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ansatz:

$$
W_{n . p .}(G)=\left.\mathcal{C} G \wedge^{b_{1}}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{n} \Phi^{m}\left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{p}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

- Exploiting the $U(1)^{3}$ symmetries (one has $q[G]=-3$ ), one finds

$$
p=-n-2=2-N_{c}+N_{f}, \quad m=-N_{c}-N_{f}
$$

## Multifermion terms at $N_{f}=N_{c}-1$

The case $p=1$ corresponds to an SQCD with $N_{f}=N_{c}-1$

- In presence of $G$-flux, besides the ADS superpotential, we get a multifermion interaction of the form

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}(G)=\left.\mathcal{C} G \Lambda^{2 N_{c}+1} \frac{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}}{\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{3} \Phi^{2 N_{c}-1}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

- For $N_{c}=2$ the moduli integral can be explicitly done and the result can be expressed in terms of the low energy d.o.f

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}(G)=\left.\mathcal{C} G \Lambda^{5} \frac{\bar{D}^{2} M^{\dagger}}{\left(M^{\dagger} M\right)^{3 / 2}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

- This appears as a non-perturbative effect of the soft supersymmetry breaking due to the $G$-flux in the microscopic theory.


## Stringy effects in ordinary instantons

$\boldsymbol{G}_{(0,3)}$ appears in the moduli action with an $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ in front. We must include other terms vanishing in the $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ limit

- From disk diagrams one has extra terms that correspond to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi^{\dagger}(x, \bar{\theta}=0) \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}^{\dagger}\left(x, \bar{\theta}=\alpha^{\prime} \lambda\right) \\
\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}(x, \bar{\theta}=0) \rightarrow \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\left(x, \bar{\theta}=\alpha^{\prime} \lambda\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

in the moduli action, which becomes


$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {mod }}= & \frac{2 \pi^{3} \alpha^{\prime 2}}{g_{s}} D_{c} D^{c}+i D_{c}\left(\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{c}\right)_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} w^{\dot{\beta}}\right)+i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\bar{\mu} w^{\dot{\alpha}}+\bar{w}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mu\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\Phi \Phi^{\dagger}+\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right) w^{\dot{\alpha}}+\frac{i}{2} \bar{\mu}^{1} \Phi^{\dagger} \mu-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\mu} \Phi^{\dagger} \mu^{1} \\
& +i \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\right) \mu^{1}-i \bar{\mu}^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\right) w^{\dot{\alpha}}+\text { flux terms }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stringy effects in ordinary instantons

When the $\lambda$-integration is saturated using $\bar{\theta}$-terms in the above superfields

- The fermionic ADHM constraint is not imposed: we lose contact with gauge instanton solutions
- We get explicit $\alpha^{\prime}$ factors in front of the corresponding contributions, which are D-terms:

$$
S_{n . p \text {. }}=\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta d^{2} \bar{\theta} K_{n . p \text { p }}, \quad K_{\text {n.p. }}=\alpha^{\prime 2} \Lambda^{b_{1}} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\text {mod }}^{\prime}\left(\Phi, \phi^{\dagger}\right)}
$$

- The form of is constrained by the $U(1)^{3}$ symmetries to be

$$
K_{n . p .}=\mathcal{C} \alpha^{\prime 2} \Lambda^{3 N_{c}-N_{t}}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{3+N_{c}-N_{t}} \Phi^{3-N_{c}-N_{t}}\left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \phi^{\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{N_{t}-N_{c}}+\ldots
$$

- Such terms deserve to be further investigated


## Stringy effects in ordinary instantons

with $\bar{G} \neq 0$

- If we perform the $d^{2} \bar{\theta}$ integration using the $\bar{G} \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}$ interaction
- Recall we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\text {n.p. }(\bar{G})}=\alpha^{\prime 2} \int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta W_{\text {n.p. }}(\bar{G}) \\
& W_{\text {n.p. }}(\bar{G})=\alpha^{\prime 2} \frac{2 \pi i}{g_{s}} \Lambda^{b_{1}} \bar{G} \int d \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-\left.S_{\bmod }\left(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\right)\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The schematic form of $W_{n . p}$. can be fixed similarly to previous cases


## Non-holomorphic terms at $N_{f}=N_{c}$

Let us consider, for instance, the case $N_{f}=N_{c}$.

- At $\bar{G}=0$ we got BW multifermion F-terms
- Now we get also a non-holomorphic contribution of the form

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}=\left.\mathcal{C} \alpha^{\prime 2} \bar{G} \Lambda^{2 N_{c}} \Phi^{\dagger^{3}} \Phi^{3-2 N_{c}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0}
$$

- For $N_{c}=2$, the explicit integral over the moduli yields

$$
W_{\text {n.p. }}=\left.\mathcal{C} \alpha^{\prime 2} \bar{G} \Lambda^{4} \frac{\operatorname{det} M^{\dagger}}{\left(\operatorname{tr} M^{\dagger} M+B^{\dagger} B+\tilde{B}^{\dagger} \tilde{B}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right|_{\bar{\theta}=0},
$$

( $M$ is the meson, $B$ and $\widetilde{B}$ the baryon superfields).

## Exotic (stringy) instantons

- Let us consider a set-up in which the instantonic brane does not correspond to a classical instanton for the gauge group
- $\mathrm{D}(-1) / \mathrm{D} 3$ strings have only fermionic excitations $\mu_{u}, \bar{\mu}^{u}$ and $\mu^{\prime} f, \bar{\mu}^{\prime f}$

- The field-dependent moduli action is simply

$$
S_{\text {mod }}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2} D_{c} D^{c}+\mu_{u} \Phi(x, \theta){ }_{f} \bar{\mu}^{\prime f}-\mu^{\prime}{ }_{f} \tilde{\Phi}(x, \theta)^{f}{ }_{u} \bar{\mu}^{u}
$$

Notice that the field-dependent terms are now holomorphic

- The integration over the $\bar{\theta}=\alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ 's kills any contribution to the effective action


## Exotic (stringy) instantons

- Let us consider a set-up in which the instantonic brane does not correspond to a classical instanton for the gauge group
- $\mathrm{D}(-1) / \mathrm{D} 3$ strings have only fermionic excitations $\mu_{u}, \bar{\mu}^{u}$ and $\mu^{\prime} f, \bar{\mu}^{\prime f}$

- Including flux corrections, the moduli action becomes

$$
S_{\text {mod }}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2} D_{c} D^{c}+\mu_{u} \Phi(x, \theta)^{u}{ }_{f} \bar{\mu}^{\prime f}-\mu_{f}^{\prime}{ }_{f} \tilde{\Phi}(x, \theta)^{f}{ }_{u} \bar{\mu}^{u}+\bar{G} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}+\ldots
$$

- The $\bar{\theta}$ integral can now be saturated with the $\bar{G} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ term
- At linear level, other flux interactions become irrelevant


## Exotic but Holomorphic

- We get therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {n.p. }} & =\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta W_{\text {n.p. }}(\bar{G}) \\
W_{\text {n.p. }} & =\mathcal{C} \alpha^{\prime 2} M_{s}^{-\left(N_{c}+N_{f}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \tau_{2}} \bar{G} \\
& \times \int d^{3} D d^{N_{c}} \mu^{2} d^{N_{c}} \bar{\mu}^{2} d^{N_{f}} \mu^{3} d^{N_{f}} \bar{\mu}^{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 \pi^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime}}{g_{s}} D_{c} D^{c}+\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\mu}^{3} \Phi \mu^{2}-\bar{\mu}^{2} \Phi \mu^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The integration vanishes unless $N_{C}=N_{f}$, in which case it is easy and we get an holomorphic superpotential contribution

$$
W_{n . p .}=\mathcal{C} M_{s}^{2-2 N_{c}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \tau_{2}} \bar{G} \operatorname{det} M .
$$

## Exotic but Holomorphic

- We get therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {n.p. }} & =\int d^{4} x d^{2} \theta W_{\text {n.p. }}(\bar{G}) \\
W_{\text {n.p. }} & =\mathcal{C} \alpha^{\prime 2} M_{s}^{-\left(N_{c}+N_{f}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \tau_{2}} \bar{G} \\
& \times \int d^{3} D d^{N_{c}} \mu^{2} d^{N_{c}} \bar{\mu}^{2} d^{N_{f}} \mu^{3} d^{N_{f}} \bar{\mu}^{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 \pi^{3} \alpha^{\prime 2}}{g_{s}} D_{c} D^{c}+\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\mu}^{3} \Phi \mu^{2}-\bar{\mu}^{2} \Phi \mu^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The integration vanishes unless $N_{C}=N_{f}$, in which case it is easy and we get an holomorphic superpotential contribution

$$
W_{n . p .}=\mathcal{C} M_{s}^{2-2 N_{c}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \tau_{2}} \bar{G} \operatorname{det} M .
$$

- $M_{S}$ does not combine with $\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i \tau_{2}}$ to give the scale $\Lambda: \tau_{2}$ is not the YM coupling on the $N_{c}$ branes


## Conclusions

- The technologies of the so-called "stringy instanton calculus" are an essential tool to devise the structure of non-perturbative contributions to the effective action for gauge theories engineered by brane constructions in a string compactification.
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- Different types of instantonic branes, ordinary (i.e., corresponding to gauge instantons) and exotic
- Fluxes may be turned on
and we must be able to follow the pattern through which the l.e.e.a is affected by all this
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- The technologies of the so-called "stringy instanton calculus" are an essential tool to devise the structure of non-perturbative contributions to the effective action for gauge theories engineered by brane constructions in a string compactification.
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- Different types of instantonic branes, ordinary (i.e., corresponding to gauge instantons) and exotic
- Fluxes may be turned on
and we must be able to follow the pattern through which the l.e.e.a is affected by all this

Thank You for Your Attention!

## General result (RR)

## Back

$$
\mathcal{A}_{F}=-8 c_{F} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right]_{M}+\frac{4 c_{F}}{3!} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0} I_{2}\right]_{M N P}
$$

- $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}$ : polarization of the open string $R$ vertex, with $\mathcal{A}=1, \ldots, 16=$ (antichiral) 10 d spinor index labeling $\vec{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{A}}=\frac{1}{2}( \pm, \pm, \pm, \pm, \pm)$


## General result (RR)

## Back

$$
\mathcal{A}_{F}=-8 c_{F} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right]_{M}+\frac{4 c_{F}}{3!} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0} I_{2}\right]_{M N P}
$$

- The IIB RR vertex is a bi-spinor containing the fields strengths:

$$
F_{\mathcal{A B}}=\sum_{n=1,3,5} \frac{1}{n!} F_{M_{1} \ldots M_{n}}\left(\Gamma^{M_{1} \ldots M_{n}}\right)_{\mathcal{A B}}
$$

## General result (RR)

## Back

$$
\mathcal{A}_{F}=-8 c_{F} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0}\left(2 l_{1}-I_{2}\right)\right]_{M}+\frac{4 c_{F}}{3!} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0} I_{2}\right]_{M N P}
$$

- I.m. and r.m. fields identification at the boundary:

$$
\widetilde{X}^{M}(\bar{z})=\left(R_{0}\right)_{N}^{M} x^{N}(\bar{z}) \quad, \quad \widetilde{s}_{\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}}(\bar{z})=\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}} s_{\vec{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{B}}}(\bar{z})
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ is the spinorial reflection matrix. Thus

$$
F_{\mathcal{A B}} \rightarrow\left(F \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)_{\mathcal{A B}}
$$

## General result (RR)

## Back

$$
\mathcal{A}_{F}=-8 c_{F} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right]_{M}+\frac{4 c_{F}}{3!} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[F \mathcal{R}_{0} I_{2}\right]_{M N P}
$$

- $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are $\vec{\vartheta}$-dependent diagonal matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{1}\right)_{\mathcal{A}_{3}}^{\mathcal{A}_{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i \pi \alpha^{\prime} s}{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i\left(\alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{3}\right)}-1\right) B\left(\alpha^{\prime} s ; \alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{3}\right) \\
& \left(I_{2}\right)_{\mathcal{A}_{3}}^{\mathcal{A}_{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i \pi \alpha^{\prime} s}{2} s}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i\left(\alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{3}\right)}-1\right) B\left(\alpha^{\prime} s+1 ; \alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \overrightarrow{\epsilon_{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{\epsilon}_{3}$ is the spinorial weight of the r.m. part of the RR vertex
that

## General result (NS-NS)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{H} & =-4 c_{H} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{N} \Theta \delta^{M P}\left[\partial B R_{0}\left(2 I_{1}-I_{2}\right)\right]_{[M N] P} \\
& +2 c_{H} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[\partial B R_{0} I_{2}\right]_{M N P}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We use an effective NS-NS vertex containing the derivatives of $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{H}(z, \bar{z}) & =\mathcal{N}_{H}\left(\partial_{M} B_{N P}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-i \pi \alpha^{\prime} k_{L} \cdot k_{\mathrm{R}}}\left[\psi^{M} \psi^{N^{i} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot x}\right](z) \\
& \times\left[\widetilde{\psi}^{P} \mathrm{e}^{-\tilde{\phi}} \mathrm{e}^{i k_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot \tilde{x}}\right](\bar{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

## General result (NS-NS)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{H} & =-4 c_{H} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{N} \Theta \delta^{M P}\left[\partial B R_{0}\left(2 I_{1}-I_{2}\right)\right]_{[M N] P} \\
& +2 c_{H} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[\partial B R_{0} l_{2}\right]_{M N P}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In presence of D-branes, the left-right identifications leads to

$$
(\partial B) \rightarrow\left(\partial B R_{0}\right)
$$

with the vectorial reflection matrix $R_{0}$

## General result (NS-NS)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{H} & =-4 c_{H} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{N} \Theta \delta^{M P}\left[\partial B R_{0}\left(2 I_{1}-I_{2}\right)\right]_{[M N] P} \\
& +2 c_{H} \Theta^{\prime} \Gamma^{M N P} \Theta\left[\partial B R_{0} I_{2}\right]_{M N P}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are again given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{1}\right)_{\mathcal{A}_{3}}^{\mathcal{A}_{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i \pi \alpha^{\prime} s}{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i\left(\alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{3}\right)}-1\right) B\left(\alpha^{\prime} s ; \alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{3}\right) \\
& \left(I_{2}\right)_{\mathcal{A}_{3}}^{\mathcal{A}_{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i \pi \alpha^{\prime} s}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i\left(\alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{3}\right)}-1\right) B\left(\alpha^{\prime} s+1 ; \alpha^{\prime} t-\vec{\vartheta} \cdot \overrightarrow{\epsilon_{3}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

but $\vec{\epsilon}_{3}$ is now the vectorial weight associated to $\psi^{P}\left(z_{3}\right)$ in the r.m. part of the NS-NS vertex

## Details on the orbifold

- Character table and Clebsh-Gordan series:

|  | $e$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{2}$ | $h_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $R_{0}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $R_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $R_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| $R_{3}$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |

$$
R_{0} \otimes R_{A}=R_{A}, \quad R_{i} \otimes R_{j}=\delta_{i j} R_{0}+\left|\epsilon_{i j k}\right| R_{k}
$$

- Transformations of massless string fields:

| NS fields | irrep |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\partial Z^{i}, \Psi^{i}$ | $R_{i}$ |,


| chiral $S^{A}$ | anti-chiral $S_{A}$ | irrep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S^{0} \equiv S^{+++}$ | $S_{0} \equiv S_{---}$ | $R_{0}$ |
| $S^{1} \equiv S^{+--}$ | $S_{1} \equiv S_{-++}$ | $R_{1}$ |
| $S^{2} \equiv S^{-+-}$ | $S_{2} \equiv S_{+-+}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| $S^{3} \equiv S^{--+}$ | $S_{3} \equiv S_{+++}$ | $R_{3}$ |

