



First Alignment of the CMS Tracker and its Implications for the First Collisions Data

<u>*M. Musich*</u> Università di Torino/INFN Torino

- on behalf of the CMS Collaboration -

14/08/09

Marco Musich - PIC2009 Kobe, Japan 30 Aug - 2 Sept 2009

CMS Experiment and its Tracker



Double Sided (2 modules mounted back-to-back tilted by 100 mrad)

Marco Musich - PIC2009 Kobe, Japan 30 Aug - 2 Sept 2009

Tracker Alignment

 Goal: nail down to a few µm the positions of all 16,588 (x 6 dof) silicon modules of CMS Tracker.



Alignment strategy in CMS: use all available data sources:

Surveys (optical/mechanical/...)

Laser Alignment

Track Based Alignment

 From older experiments: ultimate precision is achieved using track based alignment, i.e. particles crossing *in situ* the Tracker volume refitted.

Track Based Alignment

• Define a Global Track χ^2 function:

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{tracks}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{hits}} r_{ij}^{T}(p, q_{j}) V_{ij}^{-1} r_{ij}(p, q_{j})$$

- V_{ii} = covariance matrix from fit
- p = alignment parameters (module position/orientation)
- q_i = track parameters
- $r_{ij}(p,q_j)$ = residual: difference between measured position m_{ij}
- and position extrapolated from fit $f_{ij}(p,q_j)$ (depending on p and q_i)
- ent design design geometry real geometry impact point $f_{ij}(p,q_j)$ and q_{j} $residual r_{ij}(p,q_j)$
- Aligment algorithms attempt to minimize this χ^2 function and therefore track residuals

Track Based Alignment with cosmic rays



minimizing the χ^2 with millions of tracks requires sophisticated algorithms, two complementary methods were used:



Alignment strategy and results

- Run a multi-step approach for both algorithms
 - Large structure movements (coherent v alignment of Single Sided modules)
 - Alignment of the two sides of the 2D strip modules (units) u,w,y
 - module-level alignment of strip and pixel modules
- ♦ Both showed clear improvements, final strategy:
 - Get the **best** from **both** algorithm, combining the two:
 - I. run the *global method* \rightarrow solves global correlations efficiently
 - II. run the *local method* \rightarrow solves locally to match track model in all degrees of freedom

♦ All the three results are compatible but the Combined shows the best performance



Validation Methods



- Overlapping modules of same layer might have hits from same track.
- Difference of their residuals (overlap residuals): sensitive to relative misalignment within one layer. Offsets indicate shifts.
- Modules of TIB show significative improvement (RMS decreases)
- Same order of magnitude achieved in TPB and TOB

• Measure for remaining misalignment:

- Module-wise informations: Distribution of Median of Residuals (DMR)
- Spread gives the lower limit for misalignment (given sufficient statistics)
- Sensitive to the *incoherent displacements* of the modules w.r.t each other in the *sensitive coordinate*
- Used to estimate misalignment corrections to intrinsic hit errors



14/08/09

Marco Musich - PIC2009 Kobe, Japan 30 Aug - 2 Sept 2009

Implications for tracking

- Track parameter resolutions depend on alignment
- ◆ Idea: split the cosmic tracks along impact parameter and compare the five track parameters $X=(p_T, d_{xy}, d_z, \phi_{tk}, \theta_{tk})$ of top and bottom halves independently reconstructed
- Define residuals as:

 $r = \frac{X_{top} - X_{bottom}}{\sqrt{2}}$

Alignment has a dramatic impact on the resolutions



original Track

refitted

upper leg

Implications for early physics

B-tagging relies completely on tracking performance:



Needs clear separation between *primary* and *secondary vertices*

- all b-tag algorithm are sensitive to alignment
- Several misalignment scenarios considered
- b-tag efficiency improves with accumulation of statistics for alignment





- Further MC studies check prospects of finding "new" physics, e. g. in dimuon resonances.
- Detectability and resonance width depend on both tracking systems.
- Alignment affects heavily high p_τ muon resolution

14/08/09

Conclusions

14/08/09

- First track based Alignment of full CMS Tracker performed on cosmic data
- Similar results for *local* and *global* method: dramatic improvement in alignment quality. The combined method gives the best results
- Residual misalgment predicted and uncertaintes predicted accordingly
- The cosmic track splitting shows achievement of excellent track parameter resolutions.
- CMS Tracker Alignment is well advanced and prepared for collision data taking.



First year of collision data-taking should already allow some *b-tagging* and "new physics" searches

Thanks for the attention