Lecture 3: Heavy quarks & Quarkonía Where Do We Stand Now

Ágnes Mócsy Pratt Institute, NY Pratt

International School on Quark Gluon Plasma and Heavy Ion Collisions December 8-14, 2008, Torino, Italy

The menu

Refresher from lecture 2
Understanding lattice data
Upgrading potential model analysis
Lessons and the next upgrade



Internal energy



Internal energy as potential

Entropy contributions vanish in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$

 $F_1(r \ll 1, T) = U_1(r \ll 1, T) \equiv V_1(r)$



steeper slope of $V_{eff}(r,T) = U_1(r,T)$

 $\implies J/\psi$ stronger bound using $V_{eff} = U_1(r,T)$

F1 and U1 is not V

 \implies dissociation at higher temperatures compared to $V_{eff}(r,T) = F_1(r,T)$

So what potential should be used in the models?

Lattice spectral function

 $G(\tau, \vec{p}, T) \implies MEM \implies \sigma(\omega, \vec{p}, T) \qquad G(\tau, T) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \sigma(\omega, T) \frac{\cosh(\omega(\tau - 1/(2T)))}{\sinh(\omega/(2T))}$

- Shows no large T-dependence
- Peak has been commonly interpreted as ground state
- Uncertainties are significant!
 limited # data points
 η_c
 limited extent in tau
 systematic effects
 prior-dependence
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S

Details cannot be resolved.



Jakovac et al, PRD (2007)

"..it is difficult to make any conclusive statement based on the shape of the spectral functions ... "

Jakovác et al PRD (2007)

Analyze correlator ratio

 $\frac{G(\tau,T) = \int \sigma(\omega,T) K(\tau,\omega,T) d\omega}{G_{rec}(\tau,T) = \int \sigma(\omega,T=0) K(\tau,\omega,T) d\omega}$

Initial interpretation

- G/G_{rec} = 1 means spectral function unchanged, state survives
- ◆ G/G_{rec} ≠1 means spectral function modified, state dissociated

Charmoníum correlators



Initial interpretation $J/\psi(\eta_c)$ survives up to 1.5-2T_c AND χ_c melts by 1.1 T_c

Seemingly in agreement with spectral function interpretation.

2004: "J/ ψ melting" replaced by "J/ ψ survival"

Bottomoníum correlators



Why is the chib so different than the Jpsi, when their sizes are about the same?

Could the J/ Ψ survive unaffected in the QGP until well above T_c even if there is strong screening in the plasma?



So what can we make of all this? Can we learn anything? Can we reconcile all the info?



Correlators



Datta et al, PRD 69 (2004)

Correlator derivatives



<u>Correlators in all the channels are flat!</u> Flatness is not related to survival: no change in the derivative scalar up to $3T_c$! c survives until $3T_c$??? Almost the entire T-dependence comes from zero-modes. Understood in terms of quasi-free quarks with some effective mass - indication of free heavy quarks in the deconfined phase

What we have from the lattice



With free spectral functions

We cannot tell about dissociation or survival from the lattice correlator ratio, BUT we can tell is that the spectral function is <u>not</u> the free spectral function: huge decrease is not seen on the lattice

$$\sigma_{free}(\omega,T) = \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} \omega^2 \left(a + b\frac{s_0^2}{\omega^2}\right) \tanh\frac{\omega}{4T} \sqrt{1 - \frac{s_0^2}{\omega^2}}$$



Mocsy, Petreczky, PRD 77 (2008)

Revisit potential models

- 1. Calculate spectral functions instead of individual states
- 2. Dissociation condition E_{diss}=0 is obsolete. Replace it!
- 3. We have accurate correlators from lattice. Compare models to these

1. Calculate spectral functions

narrow resonances - spectroscopy ok

broad resonances - need total cross section Spectral function contains all the info about a channel: provides unified treatment of bound states, continuum and threshold.

Disappearance of a peak means dissociated bound state

1. Calculate spectral functions

Simplified: bound states + continuum

$$\begin{aligned} F_H(\omega, T) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} G_H(\omega) \\ &= \sum_n |\langle 0|j_H |n \rangle|^2 \,\delta(\omega - E_n) \\ &= \sum_n F_{H,n}^2 \delta(\omega - M_n) + \theta(\omega - s_0) F_{H,\omega-s_0}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Alberico et al, PRD 75 (2007)

Full calculation

$$\left[-\frac{1}{m}\nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) + E\right]G^{NR}(\vec{r},\vec{r}',E) = \delta^3(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')$$

$$\sigma(E) = \frac{2N_c}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G^{NR}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}', E)_{\vec{r}=\vec{r}'=0}$$

Solve Schrödinger equation for non-relativistic Green's function

Cabrera, Rapp, PRD 74 (2007); Mocsy, Petreczky, PRD 77 (2008)

 $\sigma_H(\omega, T) = \sum F_{H,n}^2 \delta(\omega - M_n) + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \omega^2 \theta(\omega - s_0) f_H(\omega, s_0),$

Alberico et al, PRD 75 (2007)

Mocsy, Petreczky, PRD 73 (2005);

Lattice-based potentials





Results from different potential models with different ways to determine spectral functions



You can see peak centered around ground state mass for $T>T_c$ State dissociates when peak structure cannot be seen When is that? At $E_{bin}=0$?!

2. Dissociation condition

 Common for all the model spectral functions that peak may be there BUT the distance between peak and continuum threshold decreases with increasing T

 $E_{bin} = 2m_q + V_{\infty}(T) - M$

 easy to understand: threshold (determined by V∞) is decreasing with increasing T



Binding energies

- Smooth decrease with increasing temperature
- What is the meaning of a 3GeV mass J/Ψ that has a 40 MeV binding energy at 1.5Tc?
 - remember, this is the dissociation energy, i.e. the energy to be provided to the state to dissociate it.



 \bullet when E_bin ~ T thermal broadening

Broadening of states

- When Ebin ~ T thermal broadening we can estimate
- No need to reach
 E_{bin} =0 condition
- this lowers the dissociation T



Broadening in agreement with: pQCD calculation

Park et al

Laine, JHEP (2007)

QCD sum rule Lee, Morita

Imaginary part in resummed pQCD Laine, Philipsen



Mocsy, Petreczky, PRL (2008)

3. Compare to lattice data



Threshold enhancement

- Large re-scattering enhancement common feature to all of these models
- indication of correlation remaining between c and cbar



Compare spectral functions



Spectral function integrated from 2.7-4.5 GeV unchanged from T=0 and $1.5T_c$

Lattice data is consistent with J/psi melting just above T_c

 The idea was to identify the correct potential by comparing correlators from potential models to correlators from lattice

- Set of potentials yield indistinguishable results
- threshold enhancement
 compensates for the melting of the states, keeping the correlator ratio flat
- Cannot determine quarkonium properties from such comparisons.





Upper limit for dissociation

- Use the most confining potential that is still in agreement with lattice
- Determine the Tdependence of the binding energy
- Estimate the thermal width

 $\Gamma(T) \ge 2E_{bin}(T)$



Upper limit for dissociation

 T/T_{c} 1/ $\langle r \rangle$ [fm⁻¹] Y(15) χ_b(1P) J/ψ(1S) Υ'(2S) $\chi_{b}'(2P) \Upsilon''(3S) \chi_{c}(1P) \Psi'(2S)$ $\leq T_C$

Mocsy, Petreczky, PRD (2008) Mocsy, 0811.0337[hep-ph] (2008)

Implications for experiment



Consequences:

J/R_{AA}: J/ should melt at SPS and RHIC suppressed at RHIC (centrality dependent?); definitely at LHC expect correlations of heavy-quark pairs, i.e. non-statistical recombination Young, Shuryak: 50% will not diffuse away The future of potential models Effective field theories from QCD at finite T Real and Imaginary part of potential derived



Lessons to take home

- Golden signal of J/Ψ suppression is more like an amalgam of different suppression, enhancement effects
- Potential models, in accordance with lattice data tell that J/Ψ will broaden above Tc and c-cbar will not be bound, but correlation could persist
 - Dissociation at Ebin=0 is obsolete
- Lattice does not tell about quarkonium properties. Must find the potential from QCD
- Don't forget: All this is static. Need dynamic medium see: Young, Shuryak(2008)

amocsy@pratt.edu

lattice QCD

Umeda et al Eur. Phys. J C 39S1 (2005) 9 Asakawa, Hatsuda, PRL 92 (2004) 012001 Datta et al PRD 69 (2004) 094507 Jakovac et al PRD 75 (2007) 014506 Aarts et al Nucl Phys A785 (2007) 198 lida et al PRD 74 (2006) 074502 Umeda PRD 75 (2007) 094502

potentíal models

Matsui, Satz, PLB 178 (1986) 416 Digal, Petreczky, Satz, PRD 64 (2001) 094015 Wong PRC 72 (2005) 034906; PRC 76 (2007) 014902 Wong, Crater, PRD 75 (2007) 034505 Mannarelli, Rapp, PRC 72 (2005) 064905 Cabrera, Rapp, Eur Phys J A 31 (2007) 858; PRD 76 (2007) 114506 Alberico et al,PRD 72 (2005) 114011; PRD 75 (2007) 074009 PRD 77 (2008) 017502 Mócsy, Petreczky, Eur Phys J C 43 (2005) 77; PRD 73 (2006) 074007 PRD 77(2008) 014501; PRD 77(2008) 014501; PRL 99 (2007) 211602

the end