

Physics Master's Degree, University of Torino

## Radio analysis techniques for EAS measurements with the KIT hybrid engineering array

*Student: Sara Martinelli* 



Thesis Advisor : Prof. M. E. Bertaina Thesis Co-Advisors: Dr. A. Haungs, Dr. M. Renschler

# 

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie

#### **KIT - Campus North**

#### IceCube Group

# SUMMARY

- Brief introduction to EAS detection using the RADIO TECHNIQUE and overview on the radio extension of IceCube
- Characterization of the scintillators of the KIT hybrid engineering array
- Radio background and signals analysis

## **Cosmic Rays and Extensive Air Showers (EAS)**

Nuclei originated in astrophysical sources covering about 10 energy orders. The energy spectrum is described by a power-law. Starting from  $10^{15} eV$  the flux is too low for direct measurements and the extensive air showers are detected.



## **EAS Detection**

- Several detection techniques are available for EAS observation, such as surface array of particle detectors or telescopes to detect fluorescence and Cherenkov light.
- Analog epoch of radio detection in 1960 – 70's characterized by less accuracy compared to the established techniques
- Revival of the technique in the digital epoch starting from 2000's thanks to the technology development and the full comprehension of radio simulations.
- Many EAS experiments exploit(ed) radio antennas





## **EAS - Radio Emission**

Measured signal given by the interference given by two mechanisms:

#### **Geomagnetic Effect**

- Dominant mechanism
- Deflection of the electrons and positrons by the geomagnetic field B
- Transverse drift current varying in time
- Amplitude proportional to the local value of B and the geomagnetic angle

# B Shower Axis Shower Front

#### Askaryan Effect

- Theoretically predicted in 1962 by Askaryan
- Weaker contribution (5-10% of the total)
- Net negative-charge excess
- Ionized positive-charged plasma left behind and current varying in time



## **EAS - Radio Detection**

The technique is especially effective if **combined with particle detectors**:

#### PRO

- Increased accuracy on the mass composition and the energy estimation
- Electric field strength of the signal proportional to the number of electrons, hence to the primary energy
   → direct and universal energy indicator
- Atmosphere absorption neglectable
- Highly inclined showers detection
- High duty cycle compared to other radiation techniques



Footprint of vertical and inclined showers

#### CONS

- **\*** Duty-cycle limited by thunderstorms
- Human-made noise strongly affects the measurements (radio-quiet sites are needed)
- **\*** The **energy threshold** is about  $10^{17} \text{ eV}$

#### The IceCube Neutrino Observatory



In-ice detector made of PMTs to measure Cherenkov light for the detection of astrophysical neutrinos

- Surface array (IceTop) made of 81 stations of ice-Cherenkov tank-pairs in order to:
  - Veto for the in-ice detector
  - Calibrate
  - *Air-showers measurements*

## IceCube - The IceTop Enhancement

Additional 32 stations deployment foreseen in 2021-2022. Each station equipped with:

- Four pairs of scintillators
- Three radio antennas





#### **BENEFITS OF INCLUDING ANTENNAS**

- Improve the general accuracy of IceTop and the calibration and veto capabilities
- Increase the sky coverage to detect more inclined showers and gamma-rays coming from the Galactic Center (61°)

#### IceTop Enhancement - Prototype Antenna SKALA

The prototype version of the so-called **SKALA** antenna was tested with the **KIT hybrid engineering array** and successfully deployed at South Pole in 2019 to perform further tests.





#### Directive patterns



KIT hybrid engineering array

#### Deployment at South Pole

## **KIT Hybrid Engineering Array**



The array is composed by:

- 4 SKALA antennas
- 6 pairs of Mini-KASCADE scintillation detectors, 4 of which located inside an array building hosting the DAQ





## KIT Hybrid Engineering Array vs LOPES/KASCADE-Grande



- KASCADE-Grande starting from 2000's was extended with LOPES.
- The experiments successfully verified the feasibility of the radio technique, publishing several relevant papers.



Figure from *H.Falcke, et al.* (LOPES Collaboration), Nature 435 (2005) 313

- Compared to the hybrid engineering array (built for test purposes):
  - Larger and more quiet area
  - Larger number of antennas (10-30)
  - Antennas co-located with 37 scintillators stations used for the trigger
     12

### KIT Hybrid Engineering Array vs LOPES/KASCADE-Grande

#### KIT hybrid engineering array

Geometric area:  $28 \cdot 32 \text{ m}^2 \sim 1000 \text{ m}^2$ 

Trigger Events DATA Mini-K Radio 5935

12 days of acquisition



Expect number of events above  $\frac{1 \text{ particle}}{\text{ ur m}^2} \rightarrow \frac{12 \text{ d} \cdot 1000 \text{ m}^2}{365 \text{ d}} \sim 33$  $\blacktriangleright$  the knee Above  $10^{16} \text{ eV}$ geometric area  $\rightarrow \sim 3.3$ 

(LOPES threshold)

Above  $10^{17} \text{ eV}$  sensitive area:  $0.1 \text{ km}^2 \rightarrow \sim 3.3$  $1 \text{ km}^2 \rightarrow \sim 33$ 

Low statistics BUT: detection of showers with core outside the geometric area having larger footprint (see LDF)

#### **KASCADE-Grande**

Geometric area:  $\sim 0.5 \, \text{km}^2$ (*Trigger above* 10<sup>16</sup> eV)

#### **Mini-KASCADE Detectors Characterization**

The antennas of the hybrid engineering array are **triggered** by the Mini-KASCADE detectors (*full-coincidence*)  $\rightarrow$  combined analysis makes easier the unfolding of the useful information from radio signals  $\rightarrow$  *energy and arrival direction from scintillators* 

Characterization of the scintillators before performing the radio analysis in order to study the **detectors performance**.

Example of full coincidence for inclined shower



DATA S	SET
--------	-----

Acquisition Time	Trigger	Events
$\sim$ 1167 hours	12/12	29049

The cosmic-rays flux is strongly isotropic, but an **anisotropy** of the reconstructed arrival directions from the TOFs of the measured particles is expected due to the asymmetrical layout of the array and the Mini-KASCADE software

#### **Mini-KASCADE Detectors Characterization - Angles Distribution**



#### **Mini-KASCADE Detectors Characterization - Deposited Energy**



Note that on the x-axis there is the total energy deposited in the scintillators. To reconstruct the primary energy one would need simulation tools.

Fit function 
$$f(E) = AE^{-\gamma}$$



Interpolation hypothesis accepted with a significance of 5%

$$\gamma_{\text{int}}\,=\,1.91\,\pm\,0.02$$

$$\rightarrow \gamma_{\rm diff} = 2.91$$
 16

#### **Radio Background Measurements - SALLA Deployment**

Several measurement periods over different months analyzed (SKALA antennas).

Deployment of a **SALLA** antenna in order to perform further background measurements to achieve better sensitivity on low frequencies (30-70 MHz).





## **Radio Background - Sources**

- The *human-made noise* can be broad or narrow-band (RFI easier to suppress) and depending on the location can be dominant or not.
- The strenght of the *Galactic noise* depends on the Earth location and decreases with increasing frequencies.
- At higher frequencies, the noise produced by the *antenna electronics* becomes the dominant component of background.



The electronics used can produce internal noise  $\rightarrow$  All the events having traces characterized by a power peak with amplitude higher than **40 dB** are excluded from the analysis.

$$\begin{split} P[k] &= 20 \cdot \log_{10}(|F[k]|) \\ F[k] \text{ is the fast Fourier Transform} \\ \text{of the recorded waveform} \end{split}$$

## **Radio Background – Galactic Noise**

- Galactic noise is made of thermal radio emissions from sources in our Galaxy. The brightest source is the Galactic Center (GC).
- At South Pole the GC is always above the horizon and, because of its relative motion, a **sinusoidal** variation in time of the measured signal amplitude is expected.
- Due to the rotation period of the Earth, a shift in time of the curve is predicted (about 2 hours per month).



At Karlsruhe, the GC stays below the horizon many hours per day



 $\rightarrow$  other Galactic objects contribution needs to be taken into account

## Radio Background - Analysis

The goal is to compare the background data available with the **Galactic noise expectation**, obtained assuming that:

- The temperature of the skymap objects is 10 times smaller of the GC one
- The gain is is linearly decreasing with the altitude



To reduce the human-made component only the **weekends** data are analyzed. Furthermore, small frequencies bandwidth excluding the **RFI peaks** are considered



The data are also compared to the **temperature** in Karlsruhe to check any correlation with: the thermal noise of the antenna, the cables and the electronics; the thermal radiation of the surrounding environment; the LNA temperature dependency.

#### **Radio Background - Results**

**SKALA**: freq. Bandwidth 136-139.5 MHz over the weekends of several measurement periods



The results point toward a correlation with the Galactic noise in the bandwidths <u>122.4-124.7 MHz, 125.3-130.8 MHz and 136-139.5</u> measured by SKALAs. No evidence of correlation with the temperature neither for SKALA nor SALLA measurements.

21

### **Radio Signal Processing - Beamforming**

Processing of radio signals:

- Hann window function to reduce the alisiang phenomenon
- Beamforming in the arrival direction reconstructed from the scintillators data assuming the radio wavefront to be spherical

Introduction of a geometrical time delay referring to a fixed antenna to align the signals:  $r_i/c$ 





### **Radio Signal Processing - Digital Filters**

#### Off-line **digital filtering** in order to:

- study HF and LF separately
- smooth the signal
- reduce RFI pollution

#### 4 types of filters tested on the candidate event 4105



#### **BUTTERWORTH-based**

Filter Cut	LP Threshold [MHz]	HP Threshold [MHz]
HF	150	120
LF	70	40

#### HF filter cut



## **Radio Signals Analysis: Time Range**

The goal is the analysis of the radio signals on a large scale *without using radio simulations*, searching for candidate cosmic-ray events of high energy.

- Set a **high-energy threshold** from the scintillators data
- Divide the trace in time-range bins of 400 ns
- Selection of the signals setting *RADIO CONSTRAINS* in each bin
- Expected cosmic-ray signal centered in 0 μs
  - $\rightarrow$  expected peak of the histogram centered in [-200,+200] ns bin



## **Radio Signals Analysis - Results**

Analysis repeated studying **HF and LF** and the **East-West and North-South** polarizations of the antenna separately with changing energy threshold and constrain on the signals.



## **Radio Signals Analysis - Results**

Analysis repeated studying **HF and LF** and the **East-West and North-South** polarizations of the antenna separately with changing energy threshold and constrain on the signals.

25

20

5

RADIO CONSTRAIN There is at least a **local maximum** in the time bin considered for one of the four traces





## **Radio Signals Analysis - Results**

Analysis repeated studying **HF and LF** and the **East-West and North-South** polarizations of the antenna separately with changing energy threshold.





High-energy events: 1%



## **Outlooks and Conclusions**

#### Radio Background Analysis

- Verified functionality of the experimental setup
- No evidence of correlation with the electronics thermal noise (temperature data from weather station and weather forecast website)
- Additional investigations needed (due to the approximations adopted)
  → For further analysis and measurements, temperature sensors on top of the antenna are suggested

#### Radio Signals Analysis

- Several candidate cosmic-rays events found, but not conclusive evidence
- Too low statistics (high deposited energy of low energy showers with core inside the geometrical area)
  - $\rightarrow$  Repeat the analysis with a higher acquisition time
  - $\rightarrow$  Make the array layout symmetrical
  - $\rightarrow$  Additional GPS sensor to measure the antennas positions  $\rightarrow$  (Comparison with radio simulations)

Accuracy of the beamforming process

# Thank you for your attention!



