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Introduction
Note on the Radiation Field of the Electron

F. Bloch and A. Nordsieck*
Stanford University, California
(Received May 14, 1937)

Previous methods of treating radiative corrections in non-stationary processes such as the scattering of an electron in an atomic field or the emission of a β-ray, by an expansion in powers of $\varepsilon^2/\hbar c$, are defective in that they predict infinite low frequency corrections to the transition probabilities. This difficulty can be avoided by a method developed here which is based on the alternative assumption that $e^2/\hbar c$, $\hbar \omega/\hbar c$ and $\hbar \omega/\hbar \Delta p$ ($\omega =$ angular frequency of radiation, $\Delta p =$ change in momentum of electron) are small compared to unity. In contrast to the expansion in powers of $\varepsilon^2/\hbar c$, this permits the transition to the classical limit $\hbar = 0$. External perturbations on the electron are treated in the Born approximation. It is shown that for frequencies such that the above three parameters are negligible the quantum mechanical calculation yields just the directly reinterpreted results of the classical formulae, namely that the total probability of a given change in the motion of the electron is unaffected by the interaction with radiation, and that the mean number of emitted quanta is infinite in such a way that the mean radiated energy is equal to the energy radiated classically in the corresponding trajectory.

A remarkable achievement, before quantum field theory was born.
Modern History

Factorization

\[ M_{\{r_i\}}^{[f]} \left( \beta_j, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \sum_{L=1}^{N^{[f]}} M_L^{[f]} \left( \beta_j, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) (c_L)_{\{r_i\}} \]

\[ M_L^{[f]} \left( \beta_j, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+2} J_i^{[f]} \left( \frac{Q'^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \times S_{LL}^{[f]} \left( \beta_j, \frac{Q'^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{Q'^2}{Q^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) H_L^{[f]} \left( \beta_j, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{Q'^2}{Q^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right), \]

Progress

- Exponentiation applies to non-abelian gauge theories.
- Exponentiation extends to collinear divergences.
- Exponentiation is performed at the amplitude level.
- An optimal regularization scheme is used.
- A long history: (Mueller; Collins; Sen; Botts and Sterman; LM and Sterman; Kidonakis, Oderda and Sterman; Catani, Grazzini; Sterman and Tejeda-Yeomans; ...)
Motivation: LHC phenomenology

Higgs boson spectrum at LHC  (M. Grazzini, hep-ph/0512025)

Predictions for the $q_T$ spectrum of Higgs bosons produced via gluon fusion at the LHC, with and without resummation.
Motivation: LHC phenomenology

Z boson spectrum at Tevatron  (A. Kulesza et al., hep-ph/0207148)

CDF data on Z production compared with QCD predictions at fixed order (dotted), with resummation (dashed), and with the inclusion of power corrections (solid).
Motivation: gauge field theories

- Understanding **long-distance singularities** to all orders provides a window into non-perturbative effects.
- The structure of **long-distance singularities** is universal for all massless gauge theories.
- A very special theory has emerged as a theoretical laboratory: \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Super Yang-Mills.
  - It is conformal invariant: \( \beta_{\mathcal{N}=4}(\alpha_s) = 0 \).
  - Exponentiation of IR/C poles in scattering amplitudes simplifies.
  - AdS/CFT suggests a ‘simple’ description at strong coupling, in the planar limit.
  - Exponentiation has been observed for MHV amplitudes up to five legs.
  - Higher-point amplitudes are strongly constrained by (super)conformal symmetry.
  - A string calculation at strong coupling matches the perturbative result.
  - Amplitudes admit a dual description in terms of polygonal Wilson loops.
  - Integrability leads to possibly exact expressions for anomalous dimensions.
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(Anastasiou, Bern, Dixon, Smirnov, Kosower; Alday, Maldacena; Brandhuber, Heslop, Spence, Travaglini; Drummond, Henn, Korchemsky, Sokatchev; Beisert, Eden, Staudacher; ...)
Tools: dimensional regularization

Nonabelian exponentiation of IR/C poles requires $d$-dimensional evolution equations. The running coupling in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ obeys

$$
\mu \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mu} \equiv \beta(\epsilon, \bar{\alpha}) = -2 \epsilon \bar{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}(\bar{\alpha}) \quad , \quad \hat{\beta}(\bar{\alpha}) = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^n .
$$

The one-loop solution is

$$
\bar{\alpha}(\mu^2, \epsilon) = \alpha_s(\mu_0^2) \left[ \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \right)^\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \right)^\epsilon \right) \frac{b_0}{4\pi} \alpha_s(\mu_0^2) \right]^{-1} .
$$

The $\beta$ function develops an IR free fixed point, so that $\bar{\alpha}(0, \epsilon) = 0$ for $\epsilon < 0$. The Landau pole is at

$$
\mu^2 = \Lambda^2 \equiv Q^2 \left( 1 + \frac{4\pi\epsilon}{b_0\alpha_s(Q^2)} \right)^{-1/\epsilon} .
$$

- Integrations over the scale of the coupling can be analytically performed.
- All infrared and collinear poles arise by integration of $\alpha_s(\mu^2, \epsilon)$. 
Tools: factorization

All factorizations separating dynamics at different energy scales lead to resummation of logarithms of the ratio of scales.

- **Renormalization group** logarithms.

  Renormalization factorizes cutoff dependence

  \[ G_0^{(n)} (p_i, \Lambda, g_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} Z_i^{1/2} (\Lambda/\mu, g(\mu)) \ G_R^{(n)} (p_i, \mu, g(\mu)), \]

  \[ \frac{dG_0^{(n)}}{d\mu} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{d \log G_R^{(n)}}{d \log \mu} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i (g(\mu)). \]

- **RG evolution resums** \( \alpha_s^n (\mu^2) \log^n (Q^2/\mu^2) \) into \( \alpha_s (Q^2) \).

**Note:** Factorization is the difficult step! It requires a diagrammatic analysis

- all-order power counting (UV, IR, collinear ...);
- implementation of gauge invariance via Ward identities.
Tools: factorization

- **Collinear factorization** logarithms.

  Mellin moments of partonic **DIS structure functions** factorize

  \[
  \tilde{F}_2 \left( N, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}, \alpha_s \right) = \tilde{C} \left( N, \frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}, \alpha_s \right) \tilde{f} \left( N, \frac{\mu_F^2}{m^2}, \alpha_s \right)
  \]

  \[
  \frac{d\tilde{F}_2}{d\mu_F} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{d \log \tilde{f}}{d \log \mu_F} = \gamma_N (\alpha_s).
  \]

- **Altarelli-Parisi** evolution resums collinear logarithms into evolved parton distributions (or fragmentation functions).

  **Note:** **Sudakov** (double) logarithms are more **difficult**.

  - A double factorization is required: hard vs. collinear vs. soft. **Gauge invariance** plays a key role in the decoupling.
  - After identification of the relevant modes, effective field theory can be used (**SCET**).
Divergences arise in fixed-angle amplitudes from leading regions in loop momentum space.

Soft gluons factorize both form hard (easy) and from collinear (intricate) virtual exchanges.

Jet functions $J$ represent color singlet evolution of external hard partons.

The soft function $S$ is a matrix mixing the available color representations.

In the planar limit soft exchanges are confined to wedges: $S \propto I$.

In the planar limit $S$ can be reabsorbed defining jets $J$ as square roots of elementary form factors.

Beyond the planar limit $S$ is determined by an anomalous dimension matrix $\Gamma_S$.

Phenomenological applications to jet and heavy quark production at hadron colliders.
Form Factors and Planar Amplitudes

(with L. Dixon and G. Sterman)
Gauge theory form factors

Consider as an example the quark form factor

$$
\Gamma_{\mu}(p_1, p_2; \mu^2, \epsilon) \equiv \langle 0 | J_{\mu}(0) | p_1, p_2 \rangle = \bar{v}(p_2) \gamma_{\mu} u(p_1) \Gamma \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right).
$$

- The form factor obeys the evolution equation

$$
Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} \log \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ K \left( \epsilon, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) + G \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right],
$$

- Renormalization group invariance requires

$$
\mu \frac{dG}{d\mu} = -\mu \frac{dK}{d\mu} = \gamma_K \left( \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right).
$$

$\gamma_K(\alpha_s)$ is the cusp anomalous dimension (G. Korchemsky and A. Radyushkin; ...).

- Dimensional regularization provides a trivial initial condition for evolution if $\epsilon < 0$ (for IR regularization).

$$
\bar{\alpha}(\mu^2 = 0, \epsilon < 0) = 0 \rightarrow \Gamma \left( 0, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \Gamma \left( 1, \bar{\alpha}(0, \epsilon), \epsilon \right) = 1.
$$
Detailed factorization

Operator factorization for the Sudakov form factor, with subtractions.
Operator definitions

The **functional form** of this graphical factorization is

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{Q_2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = H \left( \frac{Q_2}{\mu^2}, \frac{\(p_i \cdot n_i\)^2}{n_i^2 \mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \times S \left( \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left[ J \left( \frac{(p_i \cdot n_i)^2}{n_i^2 \mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right].
\]

We introduced **factorization vectors** \( n_i^\mu \), with \( n_i^2 \neq 0 \), to define the **jets**,

\[
J \left( \frac{(p \cdot n)^2}{n^2 \mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) u(p) = \langle 0 | \Phi_n(\infty, 0) \psi(0) | p \rangle.
\]

where \( \Phi_n \) is the **Wilson line** operator along the direction \( n^\mu \).

\[
\Phi_n(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) = \text{P exp} \left[ ig \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \ n \cdot A(\lambda n) \right].
\]

The jet \( J \) has **collinear** divergences only along \( p \).
Operator definitions

The soft function $S$ is the eikonal limit of the massless form factor

$$S \left( \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \langle 0 | \Phi_{\beta_2}(\infty, 0) \Phi_{\beta_1}(0, -\infty) | 0 \rangle .$$

Soft-collinear regions are subtracted dividing by eikonal jets $J$.

$$J \left( \frac{(\beta_1 \cdot n_1)^2}{n_1^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \langle 0 | \Phi_{n_1}(\infty, 0) \Phi_{\beta_1}(0, -\infty) | 0 \rangle ,$$

- $S$ and $J$ are pure counterterms in dimensional regularization.
- $\beta_i$-dependence of $S$ and $J$ violates rescaling invariance of Wilson lines.
  $\Rightarrow$ It arises from double poles, associated with $\gamma_K$.
- A single pole function where the cusp anomaly cancels is

$$\overline{S} \left( \rho_{12}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \equiv \frac{S \left( \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)}{\prod_{i=1}^2 J \left( \frac{(\beta_i \cdot n_i)^2}{n_i^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)}$$

It can only depend on the scaling variable

$$\rho_{12} \equiv \frac{(\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2)^2 n_1^2 n_2^2}{(\beta_1 \cdot n_1)^2 (\beta_2 \cdot n_2)^2}.$$
Jet evolution

The **full form factor** does not depend on the factorization vectors $n_i^\mu$.

Defining $x_i \equiv (\beta_i \cdot n_i)^2 / n_i^2$, 

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \Gamma \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = 0.$$ 

This **dictates** the evolution of the jet $J$, through a ‘$K + G$’ equation

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log J_i = - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log H + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log J_i$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ G_i\left(x_i, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) + K\left(\alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right],$$

Imposing **RG invariance** of the form factor

$$\gamma_S(\rho_{12}, \alpha_s) + \gamma_H(\rho_{12}, \alpha_s) + 2\gamma_J(\alpha_s) = 0.$$ 

leads to the final evolution equation

$$Q \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} \log \Gamma = \beta(\epsilon, \alpha_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_s} \log H - \gamma_S - 2 \gamma_J + \sum_{i=1}^2 (G_i + K).$$
Collinear evolution

It is useful to establish a connection to conventional collinear factorization. Define a parton-in-parton distribution as

\[ \phi_{q/q}(x, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{4N_c} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda p \cdot \beta} \langle p | \bar{\psi}_q(\lambda \beta) \gamma \cdot \beta \Phi_\beta(\lambda, 0) \psi_q(0) | p \rangle, \]

The virtual contribution can be isolated. At the amplitude level it is

\[ \bar{\Gamma}_{q/q} \left( \frac{p \cdot \beta}{\mu}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \equiv \langle 0 | \Phi_\beta(\infty, 0) \psi_q(0) | p \rangle, \]

Comparing factorizations for this amplitude and the jet \( J \), and enforcing Altarelli-Parisi evolution one finds

\[ \frac{[J ((\beta_p \cdot n)^2/n^2, \epsilon)]_\text{pole}}{\mathcal{J} ((\beta_p \cdot n)^2/n^2, \epsilon)} = \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_{q/q}(\beta_p \cdot \beta, \epsilon)}{\bar{\Gamma}_{q/q}^{\text{eik}}(\beta_p \cdot \beta, \epsilon)} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} B^{[q]}_{\delta} (\bar{\alpha}(\xi^2, \epsilon)) \right], \]

where \( B^{[q]}_{\delta} \) is the virtual part of the splitting function,

\[ P_{ii}(x) = \frac{\gamma_K^{[i]}(\alpha_s)}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{1-x} \right]_+ + B^{[i]}_{\delta}(\alpha_s) \delta(1-x) + \mathcal{O}((1-x)^0) \].
Results for Sudakov form factors

In dimensional regularization (\(\epsilon < 0\)) one has the boundary value 
\(\Gamma(0, \epsilon) = 1\). Then

\[
\log \left[ \Gamma \left( Q^2, \epsilon \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{-Q^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left[ K(\epsilon) + G(\bar{\alpha}(\xi^2), \epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\xi^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{d\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \gamma_K(\bar{\alpha}(\lambda^2)) \right]
\]

The functions \(K\) and \(\gamma_K\) are not independent

\[
\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} K(\epsilon, \alpha_s) = -\gamma_K(\alpha_s) \quad \Rightarrow \quad K(\epsilon, \alpha_s(\mu^2)) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \gamma_K(\bar{\alpha}(\lambda^2, \epsilon))
\]

The form factor can be written in terms of just \(G\) and \(\gamma_K\),

\[
\Gamma \left( Q^2, \epsilon \right) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{-Q^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left[ G \left( -1, \bar{\alpha}(\xi^2, \epsilon), \epsilon \right) \right. \right.
\]
\[
\left. - \left. \frac{1}{2} \gamma_K(\bar{\alpha}(\xi^2, \epsilon)) \log \left( \frac{-Q^2}{\xi^2} \right) \right]\right\}
\]

Recall: in general poles up to \(\alpha_s^n/\epsilon^{n+1}\) appear in the exponent.
Implications

- The exponent is not affected by the Landau pole for $\epsilon < 0$. $\Gamma$ is an analytic function of the coupling and $\epsilon$. At one loop in QCD

$$\log \Gamma \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \log \Gamma \left( 1, \alpha_s(Q^2), \epsilon \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{b_0} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_K^{(1)}}{\epsilon} \text{Li}_2 \left[ \frac{a(Q^2)}{a(Q^2) + \epsilon} \right] + 2 \Gamma^{(1)}(\epsilon) \log \left[ 1 + \frac{a(Q^2)}{\epsilon} \right] \right\} .$$

- The ratio of the timelike to the spacelike form factor admits a simple representation

$$\log \left[ \frac{\Gamma(Q^2, \epsilon)}{\Gamma(-Q^2, \epsilon)} \right] = i \frac{\pi}{2} k(\epsilon) + \frac{i}{2} \int_0^\pi \left[ G \left( \bar{\alpha} \left( e^{i\theta} Q^2 \right), \epsilon \right) - \frac{i}{2} \int_0^\theta d\phi \gamma_K \left( \bar{\alpha} \left( e^{i\phi} Q^2 \right) \right) \right]$$

- Infinities are confined to a phase given by $\gamma_K$.

$\Rightarrow$ The modulus of the ratio is finite, and physically relevant for resummed EW annihilation processes.
Form factors in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- In $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ conformal invariance is broken and $\beta(\alpha_s) = -2 \epsilon \alpha_s$.

- All integrations are trivial. The exponent has only double and single poles to all orders (Z. Bern, L. Dixon, A. Smirnov).

$$\log \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\pi} \right)^n \left( \frac{\mu^2}{-Q^2} \right)^{n\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\gamma_K^{(n)}}{2n^2 \epsilon^2} + \frac{G^{(n)}(\epsilon)}{n\epsilon} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \right)^n e^{-i\pi n\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\gamma_K^{(n)}}{2n^2 \epsilon^2} + \frac{G^{(n)}(\epsilon)}{n\epsilon} \right],$$

- In the planar limit this captures all singularities of fixed-angle amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

- The analytic continuation yields a finite result in four dimensions, arguably exact.

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(Q^2)}{\Gamma(-Q^2)} \right|^2 = \exp \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{4} \gamma_K \left( \alpha_s(Q^2) \right) \right].$$
Characterizing $G(\alpha_s, \epsilon)$

The single pole function $G(\alpha_s, \epsilon)$ is a sum of anomalous dimensions

$$G(\alpha_s, \epsilon) = \beta(\epsilon, \alpha_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_s} \log H - \gamma_{\Sigma} - 2\gamma_J + \sum_{i=1}^{2} G_i,$$

In $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ finite remainders can be neatly exponentiated

$$C(\alpha_s(Q^2), \epsilon) = \exp \left[ \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left\{ \frac{d}{d \ln \xi^2} \log C (\bar{\alpha} (\xi^2, \epsilon), \epsilon) \right\} \right] \equiv \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} G_C (\bar{\alpha} (\xi^2, \epsilon), \epsilon) \right]$$

The soft function exponentiates like the full form factor

$$S(\alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left[ G_{\text{eik}} (\bar{\alpha} (\xi^2, \epsilon)) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_K (\bar{\alpha} (\xi^2, \epsilon)) \log \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\xi^2} \right) \right] \right\}.$$  

$G(\alpha_s, \epsilon)$ is then simply related to collinear splitting functions and to the eikonal approximation

$$G(\alpha_s, \epsilon) = 2B_\delta (\alpha_s) + G_{\text{eik}} (\alpha_s) + G_H (\alpha_s, \epsilon),$$

Note: $G_H$ does not generate poles; it vanishes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.
Single logarithms in resummation

A deeper characterization of infrared and collinear single poles in amplitudes should be reflected in single logarithms in cross sections. For a resummation as in the Drell-Yan cross section

\[
\hat{\omega}_{\text{MS}} (N) = \left| \frac{\Gamma(Q^2, \epsilon)}{\phi_V(Q^2, \epsilon)} \right|^2 \exp \left[ F_{\text{DY}}(\alpha_s) + \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1-z} \right. \\
\left. \left\{ 2 \int_Q^{(1-z)Q^2} \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} A(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) + D(\alpha_s((1-z)^2Q^2))\right\} \right].
\]

the function \(D(\alpha_s)\) should be related to \(B_\delta(\alpha_s)\) and to \(G(\alpha_s)\). Indeed,

\[
A(\alpha_s) = \gamma_K(\alpha_s)/2,
\]

\[
D(\alpha_s) = 4B_\delta(\alpha_s) - 2\tilde{G}(\alpha_s) + \hat{\beta}(\alpha_s) \frac{d}{d\alpha_s}F_{\text{DY}}(\alpha_s),
\]

\[
B(\alpha_s) = B_\delta(\alpha_s) - \tilde{G}(\alpha_s) + \hat{\beta}(\alpha_s) \frac{d}{d\alpha_s}F_{\text{DIS}}(\alpha_s),
\]

where \(B(\alpha_s)\) is the single log function for DIS resummation, and \(\tilde{G}(\alpha_s)\) is derived recursively from \(G(\alpha_s)\) (Laenen, LM; Ravindran et al; Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt).
Beyond the Planar Limit

(with E. Gardi)
Fixed-angle scattering amplitudes in any massless gauge theory can also be factorized into hard, jet and soft functions.

\[
\mathcal{M}_L \left( p_i/\mu, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = S_{LK} \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) H_K \left( \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{\mu^2}, \frac{(p_i \cdot n_i)^2}{n_i^2 \mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ J_i \left( \frac{(p_i \cdot n_i)^2}{n_i^2 \mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) / J_i \left( \frac{(\beta_i \cdot n_i)^2}{n_i^2 \mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right],
\]

The soft function is now a matrix, mixing the available color tensors.

\[
(c_L)_{\{\alpha_k\}} S_{LK} \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \\
= \sum_{\{\eta_k\}} \langle 0 | \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi_{\beta_i}(\infty, 0)_{\alpha_k, \eta_k} | 0 \rangle (c_K)_{\{\eta_k\}},
\]

Soft exchanges mix color structures.
Soft anomalous dimensions

The soft function $S$ obeys a matrix RG evolution equation

$$
\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} S_{IK} \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = - \Gamma^S_{IJ} \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) S_{JK} \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right),
$$

▶ Note: $\Gamma^S$ is singular due to overlapping UV and collinear poles.

As before, $S$ is a pure counterterm. In dimensional regularization, then

$$
S \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = P \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \Gamma^S \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\xi^2, \epsilon), \epsilon \right) \right].
$$

Double poles cancel in the reduced soft function

$$
\overline{S}_{LK} \left( \rho_{ij}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \frac{S_{LK} \left( \beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)}{\prod_{i=1}^n J_i \left( \frac{(\beta_i \cdot n_i)^2}{n_i^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)}
$$

▶ $\overline{S}$ must depend on rescaling invariant variables, $\rho_{ij} \equiv \frac{n_i^2 n_j^2 (\beta_i \cdot \beta_j)^2}{(\beta_i \cdot n_i)^2 (\beta_j \cdot n_j)^2}$.

▶ The anomalous dimension $\Gamma^{\overline{S}}(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s)$ for the evolution of $\overline{S}$ is finite.
**Surprising simplicity**

- \( \Gamma^S \) can be computed from UV poles of \( S \)
- Non-abelian eikonal exponentiation selects the relevant diagrams: webs
- \( \Gamma^S \) appears highly complex at high orders.

The **two-loop calculation** (M. Aybat, L. Dixon, G. Sterman) leads to a surprising result: for any number of light-like eikonal lines

\[
\Gamma^{(2)}_S = \frac{\kappa}{2} \Gamma^{(1)}_S \quad \kappa = \left( \frac{67}{18} - \zeta(2) \right) C_A - \frac{10}{9} T_F C_F .
\]

- No new kinematic dependence; no new matrix structure.
- \( \kappa \) is the two-loop coefficient of \( \gamma_K \), rescaled by the appropriate Casimir,

\[
\gamma^{(i)}_K (\alpha_s) = C^{(i)} \left[ 2 \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + \kappa \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O} (\alpha_s^3) .
\]
Kinematics and cusps

Eikonal jets illustrate how the cusp anomaly dictates kinematic dependence.

- $\mathcal{J}_i$ obeys its own ‘$K + G$’ equation, and it is a pure counterterm. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_i (x_i, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left( G_{\mathcal{J}_i} (x_i, \alpha_s(\xi^2, \epsilon)) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_K^{(i)} (\alpha_s(\xi^2, \epsilon)) \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\xi^2} \right) \right],$$

- The factorization of the form factor links $\mathcal{J}_i$ to $\gamma_K^{(i)}$

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \ln \mathcal{J}_i (x_i, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}(\epsilon, \alpha_s) = -\frac{1}{8} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \gamma_K^{(i)} (\alpha_s(\xi^2, \epsilon)).$$

- $G_{\mathcal{J}_i}$ must then be proportional to $\gamma_K^{(i)}$ and linear in $\ln x_i$,

$$G_{\mathcal{J}_i} (x_i, \alpha_s) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_K^{(i)} (\alpha_s) \ln(x_i) + \delta_{\mathcal{J}_i}(\alpha_s).$$

- Kinematic dependence of eikonal jets is completely solved

$$\mathcal{J}_i (x_i, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \delta_{\mathcal{J}_i}(\alpha_s(\lambda^2, \epsilon)) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_K^{(i)} (\alpha_s(\lambda^2, \epsilon)) \ln \left( \frac{x_i \mu^2}{\lambda^2} \right) \right] \right\}.$$
Factorization constraints

For general multi-leg amplitudes, the conclusion is less straightforward

- For eikonal jets, no $\beta_i$ dependence is possible except through the cusp.
- For $n \geq 4$ legs, rescaling-invariant combinations of $\beta_i$’s exist:

$$\rho_{ijkl} \equiv (\beta_i \cdot \beta_j)(\beta_k \cdot \beta_l)/(\beta_i \cdot \beta_k)(\beta_j \cdot \beta_l)$$

Consider however the anomalous dimension for the reduced soft function

$$\Gamma^S_{IJ}(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s(\mu^2)) = \Gamma^S_{IJ}(\beta_i \cdot \beta_j, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) - \delta_{IJ} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{Jk} \left( \frac{(\beta_k \cdot n_k)^2}{n_k^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right).$$

This poses strong constraints on the soft matrix. Indeed

- Off-diagonal terms in $\Gamma^S$ must be finite, and must depend only on the cross-ratios $\rho_{ijkl}$.
- Singular terms in $\Gamma^S$ must be diagonal and proportional to $\gamma_K$.
- Finite diagonal terms must conspire to construct $\rho_{ij}$’s combining $\beta_i \cdot \beta_j$ with $x_i = (\beta_i \cdot n_i)^2/n_i^2$. 
Factorization constraints

The constraints can be formalized simply by using the chain rule. $\Gamma^S$ depends on $x_i$ in a simple way.

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Gamma^S_{ij} (\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = -\delta_{IJ} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \gamma_J (x_i, \alpha_s, \epsilon) = -\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{(i)}_{K} (\alpha_s) \delta_{IJ}.$$ 

This leads to a linear equation for the dependence of $\Gamma^S$ on $\rho_{ij}$

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln(\rho_{ij})} \Gamma^S_{MN} (\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = \frac{1}{4} \gamma^{(i)}_{K} (\alpha_s) \delta_{MN} \quad \forall i ,$$

- The equation relates $\Gamma^S$ to $\gamma_K$ to all orders in perturbation theory
  ⇒ and should remain true at strong coupling as well.
- It correlates color and kinematics for any number of hard partons.
- It admits a unique solution for amplitudes with up to three hard partons.
  ⇒ For $n \geq 4$ hard partons, functions of $\rho_{ijkl}$ solve the homogeneous equation.
Minimal solution

The cusp anomalous dimension exhibits Casimir scaling up to three loops.

\[ \gamma_K^{(i)}(\alpha_s) = C_i \tilde{\gamma}_K(\alpha_s) \] with \( C_i \) the quadratic Casimir and \( \tilde{\gamma}_K(\alpha_s) \) universal.

Denoting with \( \tilde{\gamma}_K^{(i)} \) possible terms violating Casimir scaling, we write

\[
\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln(\rho_{ij})} \Gamma_{\bar{S}}(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ C_i \tilde{\gamma}_K(\alpha_s) + \tilde{\gamma}_K^{(i)}(\alpha_s) \right] \quad \forall i ,
\]

By linearity, using the color generator notation, the scaling term yields

\[
\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln(\rho_{ij})} \Gamma_{Q.C.}^{\bar{S}}(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = \frac{1}{4} T_i \cdot T_i \tilde{\gamma}_K(\alpha_s) , \quad \forall i
\]

An all-order solution is the dipole formula (E. Gardi, LM; T. Becher, M. Neubert)

\[
\Gamma_{\bar{S}}(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = -\frac{1}{8} \tilde{\gamma}_K(\alpha_s) \sum_{j \neq i} \ln(\rho_{ij}) T_i \cdot T_j + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{S}(\alpha_s) \sum_i T_i \cdot T_i ,
\]

as easily checked using color conservation, \( \sum_i T_i = 0 \).

Note: all known results for massless gauge theories are of this form.
Beyond the minimal solution?

- For $n \geq 4$ the constraint equation does not uniquely determine $\Gamma^S$: the homogeneous equation has nontrivial solutions

$$
\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln(\rho_{ij})} \Gamma^S(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma^S = \Gamma^S(\rho_{ijkl}, \alpha_s).
$$

- The cusp anomalous dimension may violate Casimir scaling starting at four loops. This would add a contribution $\Gamma^S_{H.C.}$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{j, j \neq i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln(\rho_{ij})} \Gamma^S_{H.C.}(\rho_{ij}, \alpha_s) = \frac{1}{4} \gamma^{(i)}_K(\alpha_s), \quad \forall i.
$$

- Evidence is accumulating in favor of the minimal solution
  - A nontrivial function of $\rho_{ijkl}$ fails to appear in $\Gamma^S$ at two loops.

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_f = \sum_{j, k, l} \sum_{a, b, c} i f_{abc} T^a_j T^b_k T^c_l \ln(\rho_{ijkl}) \ln(\rho_{iklj}) \ln(\rho_{ijlk}),
$$

  - The minimal solution holds for a subset of diagrams at three loops (L. Dixon).
  - Symmetry arguments, using collinear limits, indicate that functions of $\rho_{ijkl}$ do not appear at three loops (T. Becher and M. Neubert).
Perspective

- After $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ years, soft and collinear singularities in massless gauge theories are still a fertile field of study.
  
  ⇒ We are probing the all-order structure of the nonabelian exponent.
  ⇒ All-order results constrain and test fixed order calculations.
  ⇒ Understanding singularities has phenomenological applications through resummation.

- Factorization theorems ⇒ Evolution equations ⇒ Exponentiation.

- Dimensional continuation is the simplest and most elegant regulator.
  
  ⇒ Transparent mapping UV ↔ IR for ‘pure counterterm’ functions.

- Remarkable simplifications in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM point to exact results.

- Only three functions, $\gamma_K$, $G_{eik}$ and $B_\delta$ determine all singularities in the planar limit, and possibly beyond.

- Factorization and classical rescaling invariance severely constrain soft anomalous dimensions to all orders and for any number of legs.

- A simple dipole formula may encode all soft singularities for any massless gauge theory.