# Charmonium production measured in PbPb and pp collisions by CMS

– Torsten Dahms –
LLR - École Polytechnique
(for the CMS collaboration)







# Why to study charmonia?

In PbPb collisions:

- Debye screening in deconfined phase leads to melting of charmonia
- Different binding energy of bound states and feed down from higher states lead to sequential suppression of J/ψ with increasing temperature
- Measure charmonium yields in PbPb collisions as function of  $p_{\rm T}$  and collision centrality
- → characterize QGP

In pp collisions:

- Baseline for heavy ion collisions
- Cross section measurements
- Polarization





2

# Muon reconstruction in CMS



- Global muons reconstructed with information from inner tracker and muon stations
- Further muon ID based on track quality ( $\chi^2$ , # hits,...)





# Muon pairs in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV







# $J/\psi$ in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV



# $J/\psi$ in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV





6

# $J/\psi$ in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV



Torsten Dahms (LLR)

# Excited charmonium states in pp

- Feed-down to prompt J/ $\psi$  from  $\psi$  and  $\chi_c$
- Measured radiative decay of:  $\chi_c \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$





Reconstruct  $\gamma$  conversions:

- Excellent mass resolution
- Separate  $\chi_{c,1}$  and  $\chi_{c,2}$





#### Muon pairs in PbPb







# J/ $\psi$ in PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV

- Separate prompt & non-prompt  $J/\psi$
- HI tracking algorithm less efficient at large decay length
  - Smaller efficiency for non-prompt than for prompt  $J/\psi$
  - Effect increases with  $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$
- Efficiencies from Monte Carlo
  - Simulate signal with "realistic" PYTHIA
  - Embed signal in min. bias event simulated with HYDJET (also in data)
  - Validated MC by comparing efficiencies measured with "Tag & Probe" in MC and data









# Prompt vs. non-prompt J/ $\psi$ in PbPb



First time that prompt and non-prompt J/ $\psi$  have been separated in heavy ion collisions





# Prompt J/ $\psi$ yield vs. p<sub>T</sub> and y



- pp from interpolation of RHIC, Tevatron and LHC data
- Large uncertainty on pp interpolation does not allow definite conclusion: Need a real pp reference!





# Prompt J/ $\psi$ yield vs. centrality



 pp from interpolation of RHIC, Tevatron and LHC data

- Large uncertainty on pp interpolation due to a p<sub>T</sub> > 6.5 GeV/c cut
- Prompt J/ψ: Suppression by factor of 3 in central (0-10%) compared to peripheral (50-100%)
- Peripheral collisions in agreement with lower limit of interpolation
- Need a real pp reference!





# Non-prompt J/ $\psi$ yield vs. centrality



- Scaled pp interpolation by measured B-fraction
- Non-prompt J/ψ: Suppression with respect to interpolation
- Need a real pp reference!





### Reference: J/ $\psi$ in pp at $\sqrt{s}$ = 2.76 TeV



- 1 week long run at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 2.76 TeV in March 2011
- pp data reconstructed with heavy ion algorithm
- Identical cuts used as in heavy ion analysis



#### **Nuclear Modification Factor**



Trend to less suppression at forward rapidity



#### **Nuclear Modification Factor**



#### Prompt J/ $\psi$ :

- 0-10% suppressed by factor 5 with respect to pp
- 50-100% suppressed by factor ~1.6





#### Nuclear Modification Factor



PHENIX data: arXiv:1103.6269

Comparison to  $J/\psi$  in AuAu collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ 

- Measured at much lower  $p_{T}$
- Surprising qualitative agreement in centrality dependence
- Suppression in the most central collisions seems the same





#### Summary



- Suppression of prompt and non-prompt J/ $\psi$ , and Y(1S)
- Strength of the suppression varies:
  - Prompt J/ $\psi$  suppressed the most,  $\Upsilon(1S)$  the least (in 0-20%)
  - Non-prompt J/ $\psi$  suppressed due to b-quark quenching?











Quark Matter 2011, Annecy, 23-28 May 2011

#### J/ψ

- 734 ± 54 J/ψ in full acceptance
- 39 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> mass resolution
- no sensitivity to ψ' (m=3.686 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>, expect ~20)
- background well described by same-sign pairs → mostly combinatorial background







# Prompt vs. non-prompt J/ $\psi$ in PbPb



Also works in the 10% most central collisions





#### Shadowing

- The parameterizations are:
  - EKS98 (solid)
  - nDSg (dashed)
  - HKN (dot-dashed)
  - EPS08 (dotted)
  - EPS09 (solid lines w/ symbols)
- R. Vogt PRC 81, 044903 (2010)









### Tag & Probe



- Tag:
  - High quality muon
- Probe:
  - Track in the muon station
- Passing Probe:
  - Probe that is also reconstructed as global muon (i.e. with a track in the Sitracker)
- Reconstruct J/psi peak in passing probe-tag pairs and in failing probe-tag pairs
- Simultaneous fit to passing and failing probes allows us to measure the efficiency of the inner track reconstruction



